

# Genome-Wide Screening of Transposable Elements in the Whitefly, Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), Revealed Insertions with Potential Insecticide Resistance Implications

Marwa Zidi, Khouloud Klai, Johann Confais, Benoît Chénais, Aurore Caruso, Françoise Denis, Maha Khemakhem, Nathalie Casse

### ▶ To cite this version:

Marwa Zidi, Khouloud Klai, Johann Confais, Benoît Chénais, Aurore Caruso, et al.. Genome-Wide Screening of Transposable Elements in the Whitefly, Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), Revealed Insertions with Potential Insecticide Resistance Implications. Insects, 2022, 13, pp.1-13. 10.3390/insects13050396. hal-03658789

## HAL Id: hal-03658789 https://univ-lemans.hal.science/hal-03658789

Submitted on 4 May 2022  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License





## Article Genome-Wide Screening of Transposable Elements in the Whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), Revealed Insertions with Potential Insecticide Resistance Implications

Marwa Zidi <sup>1,2</sup>, Khouloud Klai <sup>1,2</sup>, Johann Confais <sup>3,4</sup>, Benoît Chénais <sup>2</sup>, Aurore Caruso <sup>2</sup>, Françoise Denis <sup>2</sup>, Maha Mezghani Khemakhem <sup>1,\*</sup> and Nathalie Casse <sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Biochemistry and Biotechnology (LR01ES05), Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunis 1068, Tunisia; marwa.zidi@fst.utm.tn (M.Z.); khouloud.klai@fst.utm.tn (K.K.)
- <sup>2</sup> Laboratory of Biology of Organisms, Stress, Health, Environment (BiOSSE), Le Mans University, 72085 Le Mans, France; bchenais@univ-lemans.fr (B.C.); aurore.caruso@univ-lemans.fr (A.C.); fdenis@univ-lemans.fr (F.D.)
- <sup>3</sup> URGI, INRAE, Université Paris-Saclay, 78026 Versailles, France; johann.confais@inrae.fr
- <sup>4</sup> Plant Bioinformatics Facility, BioinfOmics, INRAE, Université Paris-Saclay, 78026 Versailles, France
- \* Correspondence: maha.mezghani@fst.utm.tn (M.M.K.); nathalie.casse@univ-lemans.fr (N.C.)

**Simple Summary:** Transposable elements (TEs) are mobile DNA sequences hosted in the genomes of various organisms. These elements have the ability to mediate regulatory changes, which can result in changes in gene expression. *Bemisia tabaci* is an important agricultural pest that has been linked to several cases of insecticide resistance. In this study, we conducted a genome-wide screening of TEs in the *B. tabaci* genome using bioinformatics tools. Results revealed a total of 1,292,393 TE copies clustered into 4872 lineages. The TE insertion site analysis revealed 94 insertions within or near defensome genes.

**Abstract:** Transposable elements (TEs) are genetically mobile units that move from one site to another within a genome. These units can mediate regulatory changes that can result in massive changes in genes expression. In fact, a precise identification of TEs can allow the detection of the mechanisms involving these elements in gene regulation and genome evolution. In the present study, a genome-wide analysis of the Hemipteran pest *Bemisia tabaci* was conducted using bioinformatics tools to identify, annotate and estimate the age of TEs, in addition to their insertion sites, within or near of the defensome genes involved in insecticide resistance. Overall, 1,292,393 TE copies were identified in the *B. tabaci* genome grouped into 4872 lineages. A total of 699 lineages were found to belong to Class I of TEs, 1348 belong to Class II, and 2825 were uncategorized and form the largest part of TEs (28.81%). The TE age estimation revealed that the oldest TEs invasion happened 14 million years ago (MYA) and the most recent occurred 0.2 MYA with the insertion of Class II TE elements. The analysis of TE insertion sites in defensome genes revealed 94 insertions. Six of these TE insertions were found within or near previously identified differentially expressed insecticide resistance genes. These insertions may have a potential role in the observed insecticide resistance in these pests.

Keywords: transposable elements; TE age; Bemisia tabaci; insecticide resistance

#### 1. Introduction

The whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) is a serious global pest of vegetable and ornamental crops [1,2]. It feeds on over 1000 ornamental and vegetable plant species from 74 different families including the Asteraceae (sunflower, aster flowers), Fabaceae (acacia., lotus), and Solanaceae (pepper, tomato) that are among the most infested plants [3]. Moreover, the whitefly is a well-known supervector of over 300 plant viruses. namely the Tomato chlorosis virus, the Cucumber vein yellowing virus, and the Tomato



Citation: Zidi, M.; Klai, K.; Confais, J.; Chénais, B.; Caruso, A.; Denis, F.; Khemakhem, M.M.; Casse, N. Genome-Wide Screening of Transposable Elements in the Whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), Revealed Insertions with Potential Insecticide Resistance Implications. *Insects* **2022**, *13*, 396. https://doi.org/10.3390/ insects13050396

Academic Editor: Alfred M. Handler

Received: 15 March 2022 Accepted: 15 April 2022 Published: 19 April 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). yellow leaf curl virus, which is one of the most damaging viruses that infects tomato crops around the world [4].

Furthermore. *B. tabaci* has developed resistance to nearly all insecticides used for its control, including organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids, neonicotinoids, and thiadiazine [5]. Recently, gene annotation of the whitefly genome allowed the identification of 647 defensome genes involved in insecticide resistance, including 130 cytochrome P450 (CYPs), 81 UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs), 22 glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), 50 ATP-Binding Cassette (ABC) transporters, 51 carboxylesterases (CCEs), 202 phosphatidylethanolamine binding proteins (PEBP), and 111 cathepsins [6]. The annotation of transposable elements (TEs) may be useful to derive a better understanding of the origins of insecticide resistance. In fact, TEs are considered as powerful evolutionary drivers in all living organisms since they play an important role in genomic evolution and environmental adaptation of species [7].

TEs are known as "jumping" DNA sequences allowing several insertions into host genomes and generating multiple sites for chromosomal rearrangements, which may have either deleterious or beneficial consequences [8–10]. Furthermore, TEs can confer selective advantages through their insertion sites by either enhancing or suppressing gene expression or being domesticated as a new host gene [11–14]. Several studies showed that TEs insertions into specific genes were linked to insecticide resistance. For example, in the Drosophila melanogaster, it was demonstrated that the insertion of an Accord transposable element into the 5' end of the Cyp6g1 gene leads to its overexpression and explains the conferred resistance to Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane [15,16]. Moreover, the insertion of a *Doc* element into the second exon of the CG10618 gene called *CHKov1* is responsible for organophosphate resistance [17]. More recently, several TE insertions near genes were linked to xenobiotics and oxidative stress resistance in *D. melanogaster* [18]. In the tobacco budworm, Heliothis virescens, resistance to the Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) toxin Cry1Ac was linked to the insertion of TEs into a cadherin-superfamily gene [19]. Klai et al. (2020) described nine TE insertions in exons and introns of CyP450, GST, and ABC genes in the genome of the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera*, but the implications of these insertions in insecticide resistance need to be further investigated [20].

TEs are classified into two main classes based on structural and biological features, according to the most improved classification systems [21,22]. The Class I TEs use the replicative (copy and paste) mechanism, whereas the Class II TEs use the conservative (cut and paste) mechanism to move from a position to another in a host genome [23]. The Class I TEs, also known as retrotransposons, replicate via an RNA intermediate that is retrotranscribed to cDNA and integrated in a new genomic site using the Reverse Transcriptase (RT), RNAseH, and Integrase. According to their structure, this class of elements is divided into seven orders, namely Long Terminal Repeat (LTR), Dictyostelium Intermediate Repeat Sequence (DIRS), Penelope (PLE), Long INterspersed Element (LINE), Short INterspersed Element (SINE), Terminal Repeat retrotransposons In Miniature (TRIM), and Large Retrotransposon Derivative (LARD). With few exceptions, Class II TEs, or DNA transposons, transpose by excision and integration of the element in a new genomic position via the enzymatic activities of their transposase. This class is subdivided into five orders, namely Terminal Inverted Repeats (TIR), Miniature Inverted-repeat Transposable Elements (MITE), Crypton, Helitron, and Maverick. Each order is further subdivided into superfamilies and families depending on their sequence similarities [21,24]. Currently, the genomic survey of TEs has become easier due to the availability of a wide variety of bioinformatics tools developed for TE annotation [25,26].

In this work, a genome-wide analysis of the Hemipteran pest *B. tabaci* was conducted using bioinformatics tools to identify and annotate TEs, in addition to their insertion sites, within or in the vicinity of the defensome genes involved in insecticide resistance.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Supporting Data

The MEAM1/B *B. tabaci* genome of 615 Mb available in the NCBI database (assembly ASM185493v1) was used to identify the TE content of this insect genome and TE insertions in connection with the defensome genes involved in insecticide resistance. This genome was sequenced by Chen et al. (2016) using both Illumina short reads and PacBio long reads approaches, then assembled into 19,751 scaffolds with a 3,232,964 kbp N50 length [6].

#### 2.2. TE Identification

The identification of *B. tabaci* TEs was conducted using the REPET v3.0 package with default parameters [27,28], which integrates two main pipelines: TEdenovo for repeats identification and TEannot for their annotation. TEdenovo analysis is based on three main steps. First, the *B. tabaci* genome was cut into batches in order to be compared to itself by the "all against all" method using BLASTER. Second, the detected repetitive High Scoring Pairs were grouped into clusters of repeats using GROUPER and RECON, which are clustering programs specific for interspersed repeats. Then, the results were combined and redundancy was eliminated in order to create a multiple alignment for each cluster from which a consensus sequence was produced. Finally, the consensuses were categorized based on TE characteristics (LTR, TIR, polyA tail, Open Reading Frame, etc.) and their similarities with reference TEs from the Repbase updated database V26.10 [29], Pfam databases [30], and HMM profiles. Finally, a classification step was carried out by PASTEC [31] based on the classification system described by Wicker [21] and a library of non-redundant classified consensus sequences was built.

#### 2.3. TE Annotation

TEannot, which includes BLASTER, RepeatMasker, and CENSOR was used to annotate the *B. tabaci* genome with the consensus library generated by TEdenovo. To eliminate false-positive matches and increase the quality of the TE annotation, a double round of TEannot [32] was performed. The first round of TEannot was run to identify the consensuses that annotated at least one Full Length Copy (FLC) (fragmented and unfragmented annotation aligned over more than 95% of the consensus TE sequence). The second was undertaken to increase the TE annotation quality of the sequences by using consensuses that annotated at least one FLC [32]. All of the TE consensus sequences were manually verified to characterize structural features and remove artifactual chimeras and duplications.

#### 2.4. Estimation of TEs' Age Distribution

The insertion and deletion rates of TE families were estimated using the TE package version 0.3-0 implemented in R version 4.0.3 and based on statistical models proposed by Dai et al. (2018) [33]. This consists of an improved estimate of the age distribution that accounts for random mutations, and an adjustment by the deletion rate, to calculate the insertion rate.

#### 2.5. TE Insertion Sites

The bedtools-closest tool v2.26.0 [34,35] was used to look for possible TE insertions within the genes (from transcription start site to stop codon) and 2 kb up and downstream [36] by combining TEs' Generic File Format annotations from TEannot with those of the genes obtained from NCBI database. This program looks for features in the genome that are close to and/or overlap with TE copies. The TE insertion locations in the genes, their orientations, and the distance between genes and TEs were used to classify the insertions. Then, we searched for TE insertions that may be involved in insecticide resistance using Chen et al., 2016's annotated defensome genes associated with detoxification and insecticide resistance in *B. tabaci* in both susceptible and resistant populations in response to insecticide treatment [6]. These genes were downloaded from the NCBI website (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/data-hub/gene/table/taxon/7038/?utm\_source= gquery&utm\_medium=referral, accessed on 16 March 2021 (File S2).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Annotation of TEs in the B. tabaci Genome

The screening of TEs in the genome of *B. tabaci* using the REPET package led to the identification of 1,327,236 putative repeats. After removal of sequences corresponding to satellites and poorly supported sequences without a TE hallmark, 1,292,393 copies were recovered, representing 51% of the whole genome sequence. These elements were clustered into 4872 lineages, of which 699 belong to Class I of TEs, 1348 belong to Class II, and 2825 were uncategorized even though they have TE characteristics (Tables 1 and S1). The majority of these lineages were represented by at least one FLC, i.e., 27,599 sequences that cover more than 95% of the consensus of each lineage (File S1). The Class I lineages are represented by 73,223 TE copies covering 5.7% of the whole *B. tabaci* genome sequence. The elements of this class were classified into six orders based on their structural characteristics (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of the identified and annotated TEs in the *B. tabaci* genome.

Classes	Genome Covrage %	Order	Superfamily	Number of Copies	Number of Lineages	Number of FLC	% of TE
Class I		LARD LINE		859	6	14	0.066
			R2	2327	12	22	0.180
			R1	1015	23	50	0.079
	5.70		RTE	14,232	34	211	1.101
			Jockey	4909	87	202	0.380
			L1	170	1	2	0.013
			Ι	931	13	27	0.072
			Vingi	108	2	3	0.008
			Hope	24	1	3	0.002
			Waldo	197	3	5	0.015
			SART	696	14	25	0.054
			Nimb	952	7	11	0.074
			Loa	3	62	5	0.000
		LTR	Unclassified	17,698	64	179	1.369
		2111	Conia	3726	56	99	0.288
			Gunsu	3797	64	147	0.294
			Bel-Pao	143	64	101	0.011
		PLE	Penelone	6993	27	52	0.541
		SINE	1 enclope	0770	_/		01011
			5S	385	2	3	0.030
			Unclassified	2634	40	112	0.204
		TRIM	,	11,424	117	319	0.884
Class II		TIR					
			Tc1-Mariner	5038	52	407	0.390
			hAT	5797	35	110	0.449
			Mutator	1640	46	124	0.127
			Merlin	23	1	3	0.002
			Iransib	52	2	3	0.004
			P D' D	135	7	10	0.010
	16.55		Ріддувас	117	2	4	0.009
			PIF-Harbinger	6	1	1	0.000
			Ginger	292	5	2/	0.023
			CACIA	134	6	9	0.010
			Academ	1097	9	42	0.085
			501a	93	2	5220	0.007
		Currenteen	Converteer	100,044	200	3239	0.252
		Unliteron	Crypton	0	1	ے 05	0.001
		Mayorick	Heiltron Manariak	1/23	21	90 51	0.133
		MITE	ινιαυετικκ	6725 84,436	28 564	9393	6.533
UnCategorized	28.81			1,005,212	2825	10,478	77.779
Total	51.06			1,292,393	4872	27,599	100

The LINE order gathers the largest number of the Class I element with 43,262 TE copies and revealed a broad diversity, represented by at least 12 different superfamilies (Table 1). The *RTE* superfamily represents the vast majority of the LINE elements with 14,232 copies (211 FLC) clustered in 34 lineages, followed by the *Jockey* superfamily with 4909 copies (202 FLC) clustered in 87 lineages, indicating high diversity within this superfamily (Table 1).

Regarding the LTR order, the most abundant elements belong to the *Gypsy* and *Copia* superfamilies, which have nearly identical copy numbers of 3797 copies (147 FLC) and 3726 copies (99 FLC), respectively (Table 1). These copies are organized into 64 lineages for *Gypsy* and 56 lineages for *Copia*. The *Gypsy* superfamily showed greater variability among its elements compared to the *Copia* superfamily. The Class II lineages are represented by 213,958 TE copies, which cover 16.55% of the total genome sequence of *B. tabaci* (Table 1). Structural properties of the elements in this class, such as terminal repeats, target site duplication, and characteristics of the protein coding domains, were used to distribute them into five different orders, namely Crypton, Helitron, MITE, Maverick, and TIR (Table 1).

The TIR order includes the largest number of Class II element copies, i.e., 121,068 TE copies, indicating a wide range of variation, with at least 12 different superfamilies (Table 1). The distribution of the elements in this order showed that the *hAT* superfamily has 5797 copies (110 FLC) clustered in 35 lineages, followed by the *Tc1-Mariner* superfamily, which has 5038 copies (407 FLC) clustered in 52 lineages (Table 1). The *Mutator* and *Academ* superfamilies each have a large number of copies with 1640 (124 FLC) and 1097 (42 FLC) copies, respectively (Table 1). These copies are divided into 46 *Mutator* lineages and nine *Academ* lineages. All of these superfamilies show substantial variability among their copies, with the exception of the *Academ* superfamily, which has homogeneous copies.

The miniature Transposable Elements (mTEs), including SINEs and TRIM belonging to Class I, and MITEs from Class II, are present with a high number of copies. The SINE and the TRIM are represented by 3019 copies organized into 42 lineages and 1142 copies divided to 117 lineages, respectively (Table 1). Regarding the LARD elements, they include 859 copies, which belong to six different lineages and the LARDs showed a homogeneous distribution (Figure 1, Table 1). MITEs have the highest copy number. i.e., 84,436 copies, clustered in 564 lineages (Figure 1, Table 1), and the group of MITEs showed the greatest variability between copies among all the mTEs identified.

Finally, the vast majority of the identified TEs could not be classified and showed no obvious similarities with reference TEs from Repbase or Pfam and HMM profiles, so they were labeled as UnCategorized (UnCats). These UnCats were divided into 2825 lineages, which covered approximately 28.81% of the genomic assembly and 77.78% of the covering repeatome.

#### 3.2. Estimation of TEs Age Distribution

Age estimation of the TEs revealed a varied age distribution, according to the superfamilies and dating back to nearly 14 million years ago (MYA) (Figures 2 and S1). The first invasion of the *B. tabaci* genome began with the insertion of *Mutator* elements belonging to the TIR order, followed by *Ginger* elements around 8.4 MYA. From 5.8 to 7.8 MYA, 12 invasions belonging to multiple families of the LINE order occurred, in addition to a new invasion by elements of the *Ginger* family (TIR order, 6 MYA) and the appearance of *Bel-Pao* elements of the LTR order (6.5 MYA). *Helitron* and the LINE-*Vingi* emerged 5.5 MYA, and the TIRs TE *Academ* and *Mutator* also arose in the same period (5.2 MYA). After the first LINE-*Waldo* wave, which happened 7.8 MYA, a second LINE-*Waldo* wave occurred 4.8 MYA. Then, between 4 and 4.8 MYA, many transposition events of *Tc1-mariner*, *hAT*, *RTE*, and *Maverick* took place. After that, *Copia* and *Gypsy* invasions happened, followed by LINE-*SART*. LINE-*Nimb* and *Jockey* from the LINE order, and Penelope elements, occurred at 2 MYA. Six waves of TEs invasions have been detected since 1.7 MYA, with two belonging to *Maverick* and *Bel-Pao* occurring simultaneously at 1.5 MYA. Recent peaks of the TIR-*Sola* and the LINE-*R1* invasions were observed at 0.2 and 0.8 MYA, respectively.







**Figure 2.** Timeline of the TE age distribution in the *B. tabaci* genome. The TE labels represent the peak of TE activity bursts.

#### 3.3. TE Insertions in and near Defensome Genes Involved in Insecticide Resistance

The search for TE insertions in or within 2 kb near defensome genes associated with insecticide resistance revealed 94 insertion sites within or near 74 genes encoding detoxifying enzymes, of a total of 647 defensome genes identified in the *B. tabaci* genome (Table S2). The defensome genes affected by the greatest number of TE insertions are depicted in Figure 3. A total of 44 insertions were found among the 33 genes encoding phosphatidyl-ethanolamine binding protein (PEBP), including 35 insertions within the gene, five upstream and four downstream. The 21 cathepsin genes were affected by 22 insertions, including 14 insertions within the gene, one upstream and seven downstream. Moreover, the *B. tabaci* genome revealed 13 insertions among the 11 UDP-Glucuronosyl-Transferase (UGT) genes, 12 within the gene and one upstream. Four insertions were also found among ABC transporter genes, three within the gene and one downstream. Finally, 10 TEs insertions were identified within the five Carboxylesterase genes (CCE) with the exception of one insertion 270 bp upstream of the CCE gene. The involved TEs are members of *Tc1-mariner*, *hAT*, *RTE*, *Copia*, *Academ*, *Maverick*, *Mutator*, *Gypsy*, *Bel-Pao*, *Jockey*, *Sola*, *I*, *L1*, *Penelope*, and *Helitron* superfamilies.



**Figure 3.** The number of the identified TE insertions in the phosphatidyl-ethanolamine binding protein (PEBP), cathepsin, UDP-Glucuronosyl-Transferase (UGT), ABC transporter, and Carboxylesterase (CCE) gene families in the *B. tabaci* genome.

Since Chen et al. (2016) identified 238 defensome genes that are differentially expressed in response to insecticide treatment in a prior investigation [6], TE insertions have been searched for among these differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Results revealed six TE insertions within or upstream of the DEGs (Figure 4). These TE insertions within DEG genes involved *Tc1-mariner* and *Academ* in PEBP genes, *Copia* in the cathepsin B gene, covering all the second exon and parts of the flanking introns, and *hAT* in the cathepsin L-Like gene (Figure 4). In addition, insertions in upstream genes involved *hAT* and *RTE*, which were inserted close to the cathepsin F-Like gene and the cathepsin B gene, respectively (Figure 4).



**Figure 4.** Schematic representation of the TE insertions within the six differentially expressed defensome genes in *B. tabaci*.

#### 4. Discussion

The current study examined the TEs' content, in addition to their insertions, within and near the defensome genes associated with insecticide resistance in the sequenced genome of the whitefly *B. tabaci*. TE characterization is an important challenge because TEs represent a significant proportion of the genome of many species and may play a role in several regulatory, transcriptional, and protein innovations. TEs are also associated with many cases of insecticide resistance acquisition, allowing the adaptation of several insect species to environmental stressors. Fortunately, a growing number of methodologies and software tools, such as TEdenovo, LTRdigest, and HelitronScanner, have been developed to address this need [37]. In this study, we used the REPET package, which combines two main pipelines: TEdenovo, which provides a full TE library for all repeats detected, and TEannot, which is used to annotate repeats. The whitefly genome is estimated to be 615 Mb in size, with TEs representing 51% of the total sequence. Similarly, TEs represent 57.17% of the Acanthoscurria geniculata genome, which is 7.2 Gb in size [24]. Other insect genomes with high TE proportions and similar genome size have been reported, such as the pea aphid Hemiptera Acyrthosiphon pisum, which has a genome size of 542 Mb and a TE content of 37.86% [24], and the silkworm *Bombyx mori*, with a TE content equivalent to 40% of the 530 Mb genome [38]. However, the blood-sucking bug Hemiptera Rhodnius prolixus has a very low TE content of 5.8% of its 700 Mb genome size [39]. Furthermore, the distribution of the two main classes of TEs in the B. tabaci genome is similar to that of *R. prolixus*, indicating that DNA transposons occur in a higher proportion than the retrotransposons [39]. However, Class I elements are much more common in B. mori, *Tribolium castaneum*, and *Drosophila* species, with 89%, 87%, and 67% to 93% of the mobilome, respectively [40].

According to the TE composition analysis, TIR elements (9%) are the most abundant group of TEs in the whitefly genome, followed by the LINE (3%) and the LTR (0.6%). Abundance of TEs with TIRs has also been documented in other insects from different orders, such as the Hemiptera *R. prolixus* (4% of TIR elements) and the Diptera *Mayetiola destructor* (1% of TIR elements) [39,41]. The preponderance of TIR transposons may be linked to the activity of such elements, which may rely on active copies of the order.

The potential involvement of the detected TE insertion sites in the regulation of the defensome gene conferring insecticide resistance was examined. TE insertions within and up to 2 kb upstream of the genes have been investigated, particularly for DEGs previously described by Chen et al., 2016, given that TE insertion at this distance may affect the cis regulation of gene expression [6,35]. Our results revealed six TEs insertions, among which three were inside the cathepsin B and the PEBP genes. Interestingly, a sequence of *Copia* element covered all of the second exon of the cathepsin B gene, which is involved in intracellular proteolysis. It has been suggested that the cathepsin B gene may play a role in insecticide resistance of *B tabaci* [6]. Thus, this insertion may be the source of a novel function of the cathepsin gene as it results in an mRNA [6].

The analysis of the whitefly defensome genes revealed an insertion of a *hAT* element into the cathepsin L-Like gene intron. Similar intronic insertion was recently reported in the cotton aphid *Aphis gossypii*. This non-coding insertion implies a *Jockey* element in the cytP450 6K1-like gene that was considered as a strong candidate for imparting resistance to thiamethoxam [42]. In addition, our results revealed the insertion of a *Tc1-mariner* element and an *Academ* element in the introns of the PEBP genes. These intronic insertions may be less susceptible to selection and may be successfully spliced out during mRNA processing, leaving the function of the relevant defensome gene unaffected [43].

Conversely, non-coding insertions may be impacted by other regulatory mechanisms; for example, if the introns of the insecticide resistance gene contain regulatory sequences, they may result in exon skipping, alternative splicing, or variations in expression profiles. Indeed, in maize, the insertion of the *Mutator* element into the third intron of the Knotted locus resulted in ectopic expression of the transcript in leaves of mutant plants [43]. Moreover, in the *B. tabaci* genome, TEs were inserted upstream of cathepsin F-Like and cathepsin

B insecticide resistance genes. Similar insertions close to the gene have been reported in *D. melanogaster*, involving the *Accord* LTR retrotransposon upstream of the Cyp6g1 [44].

The identified TE insertions may mediate insecticide resistance in *B. tabaci* through cis regulation of insecticide genes, enabling this hemipteran pest to adapt to xenobiotic stress. It is worth mentioning that TE insertions themselves contribute directly to gene evolution, as previously reported for the genes encoding SSGP proteins, which evolved by transposition of MITEs and *Ty3/gypsy* elements [45,46].

Additionally, TE age estimation revealed recent transposition events of TE elements belonging to the TIR and LINE orders, which may explain the abundance of these elements in the *B. tabaci* genome. This reactivation of TEs would have occurred concurrently with the invasion of new variants involving complete copies, which could have acted in trans to mobilize the TEs of other lineages belonging to the same group [47,48].

#### 5. Conclusions

In this study, we conducted a genome-wide screening of TEs in the hemipteran pest, *B. tabaci*, which demonstrated a wide range of TE diversity, with different age distributions and activities. Some elements were inserted within and near the defensome genes involved in insecticide resistance, which may be important for *B. tabaci* to adapt. Therefore, these analyses are an important first step toward more in-depth studies that focus on promoter, end of transcription, and splicing signals deriving from TEs, in order to better estimate their impact on this pest's insecticide resistance genes.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/insects13050396/s1, Figure S1: The age distribution in million years ago of the TEs from the *B. tabaci* genome; Table S1: Characteristics of TEs identified and annotated in the *B. tabaci* genome; Table S2: Summary of TE insertions in and near defensome genes involved in insecticide resistance in the *B. tabaci* genome; File S1: All the Full-Length Copy sequences (fasta format); File S2: Source datasets used for TE analysis (annotation of TEs in the *B. tabaci* genome, estimation of TE age distribution, TE insertions in and near defensome genes involved in insecticide resistance); Genes from detoxification and other interesting families that are differentially expressed upon insecticide treatment; TE package Insertion/Deletion Dynamics for Transposable Elements; GFF file of *B. tabaci* genes.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.Z., M.M.K., A.C., B.C. and N.C.; methodology, M.Z., K.K., J.C. and F.D.; investigation, data curation, M.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, M.Z., M.M.K., B.C. and N.C.; writing—review and editing, M.M.K., B.C., N.C., A.C., F.D., J.C. and K.K.; supervision, M.M.K., B.C. and N.C.; project administration, M.M.K. and N.C.; funding acquisition, M.M.K. and N.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was financially supported by the PHC-Utique 19G0901 "Hubert Curien Franco-Tunisian partnership" (41703ZJ) and the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research and the University of Tunis El Manar.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available in article or Supplementary Materials.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Jones, D.R. Plant viruses transmitted by whiteflies. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 2003, 109, 195–219. [CrossRef]
- Younas, M.; Zou, H.; Laraib, T.; Rajpoot, N.A.; Khan, N.A.; Zaidi, A.A.; Kachelo, G.A.; Akhtar, M.W.; Hayat, S.; Al-Sadi, A.M.; et al. The impact of insecticides and plant extracts on the suppression of insect vector (*Bemisia tabaci*) of Mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV). *PLoS ONE* 2021, 16, e0256449. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abd-Rabou, S.; Simmons, A.M. Survey of reproductive host plants of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: *Aleyrodidae*) in Egypt, including new host records. *Entomol. News* 2010, 121, 456–465. [CrossRef]

- Navas-Castillo, J.; Fiallo-Olivé, E.; Sánchez-Campos, S. Emerging virus diseases transmitted by whiteflies. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 2011, 49, 219–248. [CrossRef]
- Horowitz, A.R.; Ghanim, M.; Roditakis, E.; Nauen, R.; Ishaaya, I. Insecticide resistance and its management in *Bemisia tabaci* species. J. Pest Sci. 2020, 93, 893–910. [CrossRef]
- Chen, W.; Hasegawa, D.K.; Kaur, N.; Kliot, A.; Pinheiro, P.V.; Luan, J.; Stensmyr, M.C.; Zheng, Y.; Liu, W.; Sun, H.; et al. The draft genome of whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* MEAM1, a global crop pest, provides novel insights into virus transmission, host adaptation, and insecticide resistance. *BMC Biol.* 2016, 14, 110. [CrossRef]
- Feschotte, C.; Pritham, E.J. DNA Transposons and the evolution of eukaryotic genomes. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 2007, 41, 331–368. [CrossRef]
- 8. Chénais, B.; Caruso, A.; Hiard, S.; Casse, N. The impact of transposable elements on eukaryotic genomes: From genome size increase to genetic adaptation to stressful environments. *Gene* **2012**, *509*, 7–15. [CrossRef]
- Wicker, T.; Gundlach, H.; Spannagl, M.; Uauy, C.; Borrill, P.; Ramírez-González, R.H.; De Oliveira, R.; International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium; Mayer, K.F.X.; Paux, E.; et al. Impact of transposable elements on genome structure and evolution in bread wheat. *Genome Biol.* 2018, 19, 103. [CrossRef]
- 10. Castanera, R.; Vendrell-Mir, P.; Bardil, A.; Carpentier, M.C.; Panaud, O.; Casacuberta, J.M. Amplification dynamics of miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements and their impact on rice trait variability. *Plant J.* **2021**, *107*, 118–135. [CrossRef]
- 11. Akakpo, R.; Carpentier, M.; Hsing, Y.I.; Panaud, O. The impact of transposable elements on the structure, evolution and function of the rice genome. *New Phytol.* **2019**, *226*, 44–49. [CrossRef]
- 12. Casacuberta, E.; González, J. The impact of transposable elements in environmental adaptation. *Mol. Ecol.* **2013**, *22*, 1503–1517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Drezen, J.-M.; Leobold, M.; Bezier, A.; Huguet, E.; Volkoff, A.-N.; Herniou, E.A. Endogenous viruses of parasitic wasps: Variations on a common theme. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **2017**, *25*, 41–48. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Palazzo, A.; Marsano, R.M. Transposable elements: A jump toward the future of expression vectors. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* **2021**, *41*, 792–808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Daborn, P.J.; Yen, J.L.; Bogwitz, M.R.; Le Goff, G.; Feil, E.; Jeffers, S.; Tijet, N.; Perry, T.; Heckel, D.; Batterham, P.; et al. A single P450 allele associated with insecticide resistance in *Drosophila. Science* **2002**, *297*, 2253–2256. [CrossRef]
- Schmidt, J.M.; Good, R.T.; Appleton, B.; Sherrard, J.; Raymant, G.C.; Bogwitz, M.R.; Martin, J.; Daborn, P.J.; Goddard, M.E.; Batterham, P.; et al. Copy number variation and transposable elements feature in recent, ongoing adaptation at the *Cyp6g1* locus. *PLoS Genet.* 2010, *6*, e1000998. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Aminetzach, Y.T.; Macpherson, J.M.; Petrov, D.A. Pesticide resistance via transposition-mediated adaptive gene truncation in *Drosophila. Science* 2005, 309, 764–767. [CrossRef]
- 18. Salces-Ortiz, J.; Vargas-Chavez, C.; Guio, L.; Rech, G.E.; González, J. Transposable elements contribute to the genomic response to insecticides in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **2020**, *375*, 20190341. [CrossRef]
- 19. Gahan, L.J.; Pauchet, Y.; Vogel, H.; Heckel, D.G. An ABC transporter mutation is correlated with insect resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ac toxin. *PLoS Genet.* **2010**, *6*, e1001248. [CrossRef]
- Klai, K.; Chénais, B.; Zidi, M.; Djebbi, S.; Caruso, A.; Denis, F.; Confais, J.; Badawi, M.; Casse, N.; Khemakhem, M.M. Screening of *Helicoverpa armigera* mobilome revealed transposable element insertions in insecticide resistance genes. *Insects* 2020, *11*, 879. [CrossRef]
- Wicker, T.; Sabot, F.; Hua-Van, A.; Bennetzen, J.L.; Capy, P.; Chalhoub, B.; Flavell, A.; Leroy, P.; Morgante, M.; Panaud, O.; et al. A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2007, *8*, 973–982. [CrossRef]
- 22. Makałowski, W.; Gotea, V.; Pande, A.; Makałowska, I. Transposable elements: Classification, identification, and their use as a tool for comparative genomics. In *Evolutionary Genomics*; Humana: New York, NY, USA, 2019; pp. 177–207.
- 23. Finnegan, D.J. Eukaryotic transposable elements and genome evolution. Trends Genet. 1989, 5, 103–107. [CrossRef]
- 24. Wu, C.; Lu, J. Diversification of transposable elements in arthropods and its impact on genome evolution. *Genes* **2019**, *10*, 338. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Lerat, E. Identifying repeats and transposable elements in sequenced genomes: How to find your way through the dense forest of programs. *Heredity* **2010**, *104*, 520–533. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ou, S.; Su, W.; Liao, Y.; Chougule, K.; Agda, J.R.A.; Hellinga, A.J.; Lugo, C.S.B.; Elliott, T.A.; Ware, D.; Peterson, T.; et al. Benchmarking transposable element annotation methods for creation of a streamlined, comprehensive pipeline. *Genome Biol.* 2019, 20, 275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Quesneville, H.; Bergman, C.; Andrieu, O.; Autard, D.; Nouaud, D.; Ashburner, M.; Anxolabehere, D. Combined evidence annotation of transposable elements in genome sequences. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 2005, 1, e22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Flutre, T.; Duprat, E.; Feuillet, C.; Quesneville, H. Considering transposable element diversification in de novo annotation approaches. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e16526. [CrossRef]
- 29. Jurka, J.; Kapitonov, V.V.; Pavlicek, A.; Klonowski, P.; Kohany, O.; Walichiewicz, J. Repbase update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 2005, 110, 462–467. [CrossRef]
- 30. Punta, M.; Coggill, P.C.; Eberhardt, R.; Mistry, J.; Tate, J.; Boursnell, C.; Pang, N.; Forslund, S.K.; Ceric, G.; Clements, J.; et al. The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2011**, *40*, D290–D301. [CrossRef]

- 31. Hoede, C.; Arnoux, S.; Moissette, M.; Chaumier, T.; Inizan, O.; Jamilloux, V.; Quesneville, H. PASTEC: An automatic transposable element classification tool. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e91929. [CrossRef]
- 32. Jamilloux, V.; Daron, J.; Choulet, F.; Quesneville, H. De novo annotation of transposable elements: Tackling the fat genome issue. *Proc. IEEE* 2016, 105, 474–481. [CrossRef]
- 33. Dai, X.; Wang, H.; Dvorak, J.; Bennetzen, J.; Mueller, H.G.; Dai, M.X. Package 'TE'. 2018. Available online: https://cran.r-project. org/ (accessed on 19 March 2022).
- Quinlan, A.R.; Hall, I.M. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 2010, 26, 841–842. [CrossRef]
- Quinlan, A.R. BEDTools: The swiss-army tool for genome feature analysis. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinform.* 2014, 47, 11–12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cridland, J.M.; Thornton, K.; Long, A.D. Gene expression variation in *Drosophila melanogaster* due to rare transposable element insertion alleles of large effect. *Genetics* 2014, 199, 85–93. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Goerner-Potvin, P.; Bourque, G. Computational tools to unmask transposable elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2018**, *19*, 688–704. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, H.-E.; Zhang, H.-H.; Xia, T.; Han, M.-J.; Shen, Y.-H.; Zhang, Z. BmTEdb: A collective database of transposable elements in the silkworm genome. *Database* 2013, 2013, bat055. [CrossRef]
- 39. Filée, J.; Rouault, J.-D.; Harry, M.; Hua-Van, A. Mariner transposons are sailing in the genome of the blood-sucking bug *Rhodnius* prolixus. BMC Genom. **2015**, *16*, 1061. [CrossRef]
- 40. Wang, S.; Lorenzen, M.; Beeman, R.W.; Brown, S.J. Analysis of repetitive DNA distribution patterns in the *Tribolium castaneum* genome. *Genome Biol.* **2008**, *9*, R61. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ben Amara, W.; Quesneville, H.; Khemakhem, M.M. A genomic survey of *Mayetiola destructor* mobilome provides new insights into the evolutionary history of transposable elements in the cecidomyiid midges. *PLoS ONE* **2021**, *16*, e0257996. [CrossRef]
- 42. Langfield, K.L. Characterisation of Neonicotinoid Resistance in the Cotton Aphid, *Aphis gossypii* from Australian Cotton. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, Australia, April 2017.
- Greene, B.; Walko, R.; Hake, S. Mutator insertions in an intron of the maize knotted1 gene result in dominant suppressible mutations. *Genetics* 1994, 138, 1275–1285. [CrossRef]
- Chung, H.; Bogwitz, M.R.; McCart, C.; Andrianopoulos, A.; Ffrench-Constant, R.H.; Batterham, P.; Daborn, P.J. Cis-regulatory elements in the *Accord* retrotransposon result in tissue-specific expression of the *Drosophila melanogaster* insecticide resistance gene *Cyp6g1*. *Genetics* 2007, 175, 1071–1077. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Wessler, S.R.; Bureau, T.E.; White, S.E. LTR-retrotransposons and MITEs: Important players in the evolution of plant genomes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **1995**, *5*, 814–821. [CrossRef]
- 46. Casacuberta, J.M.; Santiago, N. Plant LTR-retrotransposons and MITEs: Control of transposition and impact on the evolution of plant genes and genomes. *Gene* **2003**, *311*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Lohe, A.R.; Moriyama, E.N.; Lidholm, D.A.; Hartl, D.L. Horizontal transmission, vertical inactivation, and stochastic loss of mariner-like transposable elements. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 1995, 12, 62–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Blumenstiel, J.P. Birth, school, work, death, and resurrection: The life stages and dynamics of transposable element proliferation. *Genes* **2019**, *10*, 336. [CrossRef]