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We propose a mechanical graphene analog which is made of stainless steel beads placed in a periodic magnetic field by a proper design. A stable, free of mechanical borders granular structure with well-predicted wave dynamics is experimentally constructed. First, we report the dispersion relation in conjunction with the evidence of the Dirac points. Theoretical analysis shows that, compared to genuine or other artificial graphene analogs, edge modes exist in the free zigzag and armchair boundaries together with bulk modes composed of in-plane extended translations but localized rotations at the edges. We observe the existence of edge modes in free zigzag boundary, and we reveal an experimental turning effect of edge waves from the zigzag to the armchair/zigzag boundary, even in the absence of a full band gap for bulk modes. Our work shows that granular graphene can serve as an excellent experimental platform to study Dirac, topological, and nonlinear wave phenomena.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Graphene, a single layer of carbon atoms in honeycomb lattice, has recently emerged as an appealing system for conducting fundamental studies in condensed matter physics and, in particular, Dirac physics phenomena [1–4]. Despite the enormous progress, there are still great difficulties in designing/modifying graphene at will at the nanoscale. This leads many researchers to propose and study other artificial microscopic and even macroscopic graphene analogs for further fundamental studies. These settings include the use of molecules [5], ultracold atoms [6], photons [7–10], or phonons [11,12] in honeycomb lattice.

The study of edge wave in finite crystals has been a long-studied topic in condensed matter physics [13,14]. In genuine graphene, zero-energy electronic states are predicted in nanoribbons with zigzag/bearded boundaries [15,16] and confirmed by means of scanning-tunneling microscopy [17,18]. However, armchair boundaries do not support electronic edge states unless defects appear on the edges [17] or the system is anisotropic [19]. The interest on edge states has been significantly renewed by recent advances in the study of topological physics. It has been shown that robust edge states/modes can appear in topological insulators [20–22] and higher-order [23] topological insulators. In these systems, the existence of edge states is directly connected with the topological properties of bulk bands. This is also the case for the electronic edge states of graphene since they are related to the winding number of bulk eigenmodes [24–26].

In addition to genuine graphene, an extensive body of works has been published over the last few years, studying edge waves in different artificial graphene structures, particularly in photonics. A number of edge modes have been observed experimentally, such as conventional flat bands as well as unconventional edge branches in zigzag and beared boundaries [27–29]. Regarding armchair boundaries, the previous reports of armchair edge waves are either in anisotropic microwave artificial graphene [30], or in a photonic graphene-like structure of coupled micropillars [31], where the existence of edge states is due to the coupling of $p_{x,y}$ photonic orbitals. Considering the study of vibrational edge waves, the existence of edge modes has been predicted in genuine [32] and granular graphene [33]. Moreover, it has also been shown that in mechanical graphene analogs both flat and dispersive unconventional edge modes can be found at zigzag edges under fixed boundary conditions [34,35]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no experimental observation of edge waves in mechanical graphene until now.

In this work, we propose another type of artificial graphene, that is, granular graphene, which can be thought of as a mechanical analog of graphene whose carbon atoms are replaced by macroscopic elastic beads and chemical bonds are substituted by contact interactions via various stiffnesses. Compared to genuine graphene or other mechanical graphene structures, granular graphene possesses extra physical features that make it very appealing from a fundamental point of view. One of those is the existence of multiple degrees of freedom (translations and rotations) [36–39]. This, in combination with the honeycomb lattice geometry, leads to Dirac cones in the dispersion relation [40,41] and topological helical edge waves [42]. From an experimental standpoint, previous reports on two-dimensional (2D) granular crystals usually focus on closely packed hexagonal or square lattices with mechanical constraints located on the borders [43–45]. Thus far, however, no direct observation has been made on Dirac cones or edge wave propagation on granular graphene. The obstacles blocking further experimental investigations on granular systems include difficulties in constructing different structures and stability issues, particularly for looser packings like the honeycomb structure.

In this paper, we present how such structural difficulties have been overcome using external periodic magnetic
fields. The proposed magnetogranular graphene (MGG) is structurally stable and acts as a nearly free-standing granular structure. We then experimentally show the dispersion curves, evidence of the Dirac point, and direct observations of the edge wave propagation in the MGG. We theoretically show that in the MGG, edge waves can exist not only on free zigzag, but also on free armchair boundaries. More importantly, for a range of frequencies we show the existence of bulk modes where translations are extended in the bulk of the structure while rotations are localized at the edges. In the same frequency range, when translations are also constrained at the edges, i.e., in partial band gaps of the bulk modes, edge modes can also appear on the free zigzag or both the free zigzag and armchair edges. This leads to an interesting turning effect of edge waves from zigzag to armchair boundary in the frequency range where edge modes appear on both free zigzag and armchair edges. Aside from the topological wave mechanism, where edge transport occurs in the full gap for bulk wave and is protected by the bulk topology [46], the turning effect demonstrated here originates from the coexistence of wave solutions on the zigzag and armchair edges over a certain frequency range.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND MODELING

The MGG is depicted in Fig. 1(b), where 820 stainless steel beads (diameter \( d = 7.95 \text{ mm} \), density \( \rho = 7678 \text{ kg/m}^3 \), Young’s modulus \( E = 190 \text{ GPa} \), and Poisson’s ratio \( \nu = 0.3 \) are precisely placed in a honeycomb lattice, in contact with one another. This layout stems from a properly designed external magnetic field that is induced by permanent cylindrical NdFeB magnets (remanent magnetization 1.37 T, diameter 6 mm, and length 13 mm) placed in a honeycomb configuration within the wood matrix [Fig. 1(a)]. The external periodic magnetic field magnetizes the elastic beads, resulting in equivalent precompression forces between beads and thus a mechanically stable structure. Between the elastic beads and the substrate, a thin layer of rubber (thickness 2 mm) has been set to minimize the mechanical coupling of the granular graphene with the substrate, and to damp the transmission of elastic waves into the wood matrix.

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1(c). In-plane motion is excited by the driver connected to a piezoelectric transducer (Panametrics V3052). Thus, each bead in the structure exhibits one out-of-plane rotation \( \varphi \) around the \( z \) axis and two in-plane translations \( u \) and \( v \) along the \( x \) and \( y \) axes, respectively. The \( u \) and \( v \) components of each bead can be monitored separately by two laser vibrometers, which are sensitive to changes in the optical path length along the beam direction.

Regarding the mechanical contact interactions between adjacent beads, we consider normal, shear, and bending interactions, as characterized by the contact rigidities \( \xi_n \), \( \xi_s \), and \( \xi_b \), respectively [Fig. 1(e)]. Once precompression has been determined (around \( \sim 1.55 \text{ N} \) by means of measurement), \( \xi_n \), \( \xi_s \), and \( \xi_b \) can be obtained from Hertzian contact mechanics [47,48] (see Appendix A). For the three types of interactions between adjacent beads, i.e., Fig. 1(e), the elongations corresponding to the effective normal \( n_{\beta} \), shear \( s_{\beta} \), and bending \( b_{\beta} \) contact springs can be expressed as

\[
n_{\beta} = (u_{\beta} - u_a)e_e e_{\beta} + (v_{\beta} - v_a)e_y e_{\beta},
\]

\[
s_{\beta} = (u_{\beta} - u_a)e_e I_{\beta} + (v_{\beta} - v_a)e_y I_{\beta} - \frac{d}{2}(\varphi_{\beta} + \varphi_\alpha),
\]

\[
b_{\beta} = \frac{d}{2}(\varphi_{\beta} - \varphi_\alpha),
\]

where \( \alpha = A, B \) is the sublattice index. Considering the honeycomb structure, each sublattice bead is in contact with three other beads as denoted by a neighboring index \( \beta = 1, 2, 3 \). We define \( e_\beta \) as unit vectors in the directions from the center of \( \alpha \) bead to the center of its \( \beta \)th neighbors. \( e_x \), \( e_y \), and \( e_z \) represent the unit vectors along \( x \), \( y \), and \( z \) axes, respectively. \( I_{\beta} \) are unit vectors normal to \( e_\beta \) and \( e_z \), with the form \( I_{\beta} = e_z \times e_\beta \).

As displayed in Fig. 1(d), we can label the sublattice \( \alpha \) in a normalized coordinate \( (m, n) \) (with the bead center positions serving as the coordinate) by \( \alpha_{m,n} \), where \( m, n \) are both integers representing the normalized center positions of beads in the \( x \) and \( y \) axes, respectively. On site \( (m, n) \), the equations of motion can be expressed as follows:

\[
M\ddot{u}_{\alpha,m,n} = \sum_{\beta} (\xi_n n_{\beta} e_e e_{\beta} + \xi_s s_{\beta} e_y e_{\beta} + \xi_b b_{\beta} I_{\beta}),
\]

\[
M\ddot{v}_{\alpha,m,n} = \sum_{\beta} (\xi_s n_{\beta} e_y e_{\beta} + \xi_s s_{\beta} e_y e_{\beta} + \xi_b b_{\beta} I_{\beta}),
\]

\[
I\ddot{\varphi}_{\alpha,m,n} = \frac{d}{2} \sum_{\beta} (\xi_n s_{\beta} + \xi_s b_{\beta}).
\]
Above, $M$ is the mass of a bead and $I$ is its moment of inertia. The dots on the top represent derivation over time. It can be seen from Eqs. (2) that bending interactions can not lead to the displacement of beads, i.e., Eqs. (2a) and (2b), while normal interactions do not contribute to the rotation of beads, i.e., Eq. (2c). Based on the equations of motion in Eqs. (2), wave dynamics in the MGG can be described by

$$\ddot{U}_{m,n}^A = S_0U_{m,n}^A + S_1U_{m,n}^B + S_2U_{m-1,n+1}^B + S_3U_{m-1,n-1}^B,$$

(3a)

$$\ddot{U}_{m,n}^B = D_0U_{m,n}^B + D_1U_{m,n}^A + D_2U_{m+1,n+1}^A + D_3U_{m+1,n-1}^A,$$

(3b)

where $U_{m,n}^\alpha = [u_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha}, \Phi_{\alpha}]_{m,n}$ with $\Phi = \omega d/2$ are the motion of particle $\alpha$ in the normalized coordinates. $S_i$ and $D_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are $3 \times 3$ matrices [49]. By applying the Bloch-periodic boundary conditions in both $x$ and $y$ axes, i.e., $U_{m,n} = U^{\alpha}e^{i\omega d/2\Phi_{\alpha}} n$ with the normalized wave vectors $q_x = k_x d/2$, $q_y = \sqrt{3}k_y d/2$, Eqs. (3) can be mapped into an eigenvalue problem which leads to the dispersion curves of an infinite MGG as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

FIG. 2. Dispersion relations of the MGG and evidence of Dirac point. (a), (b) Theoretical (infinite MGG), (c), (d) numerical (finite MGG), (e), (f) experimental dispersion relations. Panels (a), (c), and (e) show the dispersion curves of the $u$ component, while the $v$ component curves are presented in (b), (d), and (f). (g) Isofrequency contours of Dirac point: (top) Dirac point at $\omega_{d,1} = 22.585$ kHz obtained from numerical simulation and (bottom) the measured Dirac point at the frequency $\omega_{d,1} = 21.731$ kHz. The color bar represents the level of normalized amplitude of the 2D Fourier transform.

Considering that the MGG in experiments is of a finite size $21 \times 41$, there are free zigzag edges at positions $(m, n) = (1, n)$, $(m, n) = (21, n)$ and free armchair edges at $(m, n) = (m, 1)$, $(m, n) = (41, 1)$. At the mechanically free boundaries, which can be obtained by removing parts of the neighbors of edge beads, the beads are interacting with a smaller number of neighboring beads than in the volume. Therefore, the boundary conditions are derived from the cancellation of interactions between the removed beads and the edge beads, which leads to the following boundary conditions:

$$M_0u_{1,n}^B + D_1u_{1,n}^A = 0,$$

(4a)

$$M_1u_{21,n}^A + S_1u_{21,n}^B = 0$$

(4b)

for the zigzag edges, and,

$$M_2u_{m,1}^A + S_1u_{m-1,0}^B = 0,$$

(5a)

$$M_3u_{m,1}^B + D_2u_{m+1,0}^A = 0,$$

(5b)

$$M_4u_{m,41}^A + S_2u_{m-1,42}^B = 0,$$

(5c)

$$M_5u_{m,41}^B + D_3u_{m+1,40}^A = 0$$

(5d)

for the armchair edges with $M_j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$) $3 \times 3$ matrices [49].

III. DISPERSION CURVES AND DIRAC POINT

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) present the dispersion curves of an infinite granular graphene without dissipation. The color scale level reflects the weights of $u$ (red curves) and $v$ (green curves) components in each mode. To measure the MGG dispersion in experiments, in-plane motion has been activated using a frequency sweep excitation from 500 Hz to 35 kHz by the bead driver located at position $(1, 22)$. The $u$, $v$ components of particle $B$ in each unit cell are collected by the laser vibrometers. By scanning all particles $B$, the translational signals of beads $B$ in a hexagon pattern for the frequency sweep are obtained. By conducting a double Fourier transform, the spatial distribution of translational signals for each frequency is transformed into an isofrequency contour in the $k$ space. We select those modes along the principal directions of propagation in the isofrequency contours, which are the $K \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow M \rightarrow K$ directions as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a). Thus, the experimental dispersion curves are obtained as
shown in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f). The corresponding numerical
dispersion curves, mimicking the experimental process, are
displayed in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) for the $u$ and $v$ components,
respectively. Figures 2(c)–2(f) indicate that up to $\sim 20$ kHz,
the experimental dispersion curves are in good agreement
with both the theoretical and numerical curves since the
branches are translation dominated. As expected, the branches
with frequencies above $\sim 20$ kHz are absent due to the fact
that these modes are rotation dominated, which are not easily
detected by the laser vibrometers.

Interestingly, Figs. 2(e) and 2(f) reveal the band crossing at
the $K$ point around frequency 10 kHz. The observation of
this crossing provides evidence of the Dirac cone in MGG,
originating from the honeycomb lattice symmetry. As shown
in Appendix B, there are theoretically two Dirac cones with
the Dirac frequencies $\omega_{\pm}$ in granular graphene considering
the in-plane motion. The band crossing around 10 kHz cor-
responds to the Dirac point $\omega_-$ at the $K$ point of the Brillouin
zone (BZ). Note that another Dirac cone is also predicted
around $\omega_{+} \sim 24$ kHz [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. However, this
Dirac point is not visible in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f) due to the fact
that the translation signals around 24 kHz are weak and thus
hidden in the color scale.

In order to observe the $\omega_+$ Dirac point in the MGG,
another set of experiment has been performed around the
target frequency. Experimentally, we choose the source to be
a frequency sweep excitation from 18 to 26 kHz. How-
ever, there are still two main difficulties to be overcome:
(1) Collection of the weak translational signals. Since the
modes are dominated by rotation over this frequency region,
the signal of translational components is consequently weak.
Thus, these rotation-dominated modes are not easily detected
by the laser vibrometers since they are only sensitive to
changes due to displacements of beads. When dissipation
is also taken into account, the weak translational motion
becomes weaker due to the attenuation during propagation.
(2) The resolution of the Dirac point. Since the number of
the eigenmodes is related to the size of the MGG, larger size of the
structure results in a larger number of eigenmodes,
which in turn lead to better resolution of dispersion around
the Dirac point. However, as explained in (1), large size of the
sample can lead to disadvantages for measurement due to the
fact that the translational signals of the particles far away
from the source are too weak to be measured by the
vibrometers. As a compromise, in this experiment for the
$\omega_+$ Dirac point, we chose the size of sample to be $11 \times 11$.
This keeps the resolution point along the $q_y$ unchanged, but
decreases the length along $q_x$ to reduce the influence of atten-
uation on the translational signal. By reconstructing a new
sample of size $11 \times 41$ with stainless steel beads (diameter
8 mm, density 7650 kg/m$^3$, Young’s modulus 210 GPa, and
Poisson’s ratio 0.3), the precompression between beads in
this sample is measured to be around $F_0 = 1$ N. Therefore,
we calculate the Dirac frequency to be at $\omega_{+} \sim 22.585$ kHz.
By scanning all the particles $B$ and doing the 2D real-
reciprocal space Fourier transformation, isofrequency contour
of a given frequency can be obtained. In Fig. 2(g), we show the
isofrequency contours at the Dirac frequency $\omega_{+}$ obtained
experimentally and numerically considering the same size of
sample and dissipation. We observe that the mode at $\omega_{+}$,
honeycomb systems with two translational degrees of freedom per sublattice. However, in granular graphene, there are three degrees of freedom per sublattice (two translations \(u, v\), one rotation \(\phi\)). As we will see, this significantly enriches the edge physics of this system.

Considering the edge wave in the rotation-dominated region (above \(\sim 22\) kHz), as shown in Fig. 3(a), it can be seen that instead of edge modes at the zigzag edge, an edge branch appears at the armchair edge. This is different from the conventional graphene where a flat band of zero edge modes exists in the zigzag edges [19,24]. In mechanical graphene, a similar flat band on the zigzag edge fixed boundary conditions. As it was commented in Ref. [35], free boundary conditions, like the ones used in this work, break the chiral symmetry on the free zigzag edges, leading to the absence of the flat zigzag edge modes. In Fig. 3(b), we present a closeup of the edge wave dispersion around 20 kHz for the zigzag and armchair cases, respectively. Interestingly, there is an overlapping region from \(\sim 19.84\) kHz to \(\sim 20.07\) kHz, where edge modes can be found on both the zigzag and armchair edges, while due to the absence of a full bulk gap, bulk modes also exist.

To shed more light on the edge physics of the MGG, we carry on eigenmode analysis of the structure. Since the MGG is of a finite size \(21 \times 41\), a dynamical equation describing wave behavior of the MGG can be derived from Eqs. (3)–(5) by taking into account all the coordinate indices \(m\) and \(n\). Consequently, the eigenvalue problem from the dynamical equation of the MGG can be thoroughly solved. In Fig. 4, we choose to show several eigenmodes around the edge wave frequencies. Starting from the rotation-dominated region, we show two eigenmodes with the eigenfrequencies 24.80 kHz in Fig. 4(a), and 24.82 kHz in Fig. 4(d). The color scales of the three components suggest that in this frequency region, modes are dominated by rotation. This is consistent with the measured dispersion curves in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f), where modes are not detected above \(\sim 22\) kHz since rotational signals cannot be recorded by the laser vibrometers. It can be also seen that the eigenmode in Fig. 4(a) shows an extended property since all parts of the structure are involved in the motion. However, the eigenmode in Fig. 4(d) exhibits a property of localization as the motion mostly is confined only on the free armchair boundaries. Note that, due to the boundaries, the eigenmodes of a finite-size graphene can be viewed as the contributions of those bulk and edge modes of the infinite MGG. Vibrational modes strongly localized at the edges of the structure can be called as edge modes of the MGG. Therefore, the extended mode at 24.80 kHz could be viewed as a mode dominated by the contribution from the bulk modes of infinite MGG of the gray region of Fig. 3, while the eigenmode in Fig. 4(d) could be dominated by the mode marked by the yellow dot in Fig. 3(a) in the armchair edge mode branch. Regarding the overlapping region, two eigenmodes close to each other at 19.89 and 19.88 kHz are presented in Figs. 4(b) and 4(e), respectively. It shows that the eigenmode in Fig. 4(b) also exhibits an extended property with translations involving most part of the structure, while the eigenmode in Fig. 4(e) has a localized mode property similar to the one in Fig. 4(d) but with motion constrained on both the zigzag and armchair boundaries. Thus, this eigenmode at 19.88 kHz has a dominant contribution from the edge modes of infinite granular graphene in the zigzag and armchair edge branches labeled by green dots in Fig. 3(b). The structure of this eigenmode confirms the prediction of the existence of edge branches in both zigzag and armchair ribbon [blue, red curves in Fig. 3(b)]. Finally, in the region that lies below the

FIG. 4. Eigenmode analysis of the MGG. Amplitude distributions of the three components \(u, v,\) and \(\Phi\) of edge eigenmodes [right panel, (d)–(f)] for three different frequencies along with bulk mode [left panel, (a)–(c)] having a frequency close to the edge modes. The edge modes (d)–(f) correspond to the dots displayed in Fig. 3.
FIG. 5. Edge waves observation: turning effect of edge waves from the zigzag to the armchair boundary. The spatiotemporal patterns of motion before the MGG reaches steady state are presented in (a) and (c), and after reaching steady state in (b) and (d). A harmonic wave at 20 kHz is excited from the source (green star). The experimental results are shown in (a) and (b), while (c) and (d) are the simulations. (e) Closeup of the corner marked by the dashed box in (b). (f) The temporal signals of the two particles highlighted by black and blue hexagons in (e). (g) Translation distributions of beads along row 22 (black), row 32 (blue), and column 10 (green), depicted by the arrows in (b).

overlapping region (∼19.61 kHz to ∼19.84 kHz) [Figs. 4(c) and 4(f)], the behavior of eigemodes is quite similar to those in Figs. 4(b) and 4(e) but with the absence of motion in the armchair boundaries. The eigenmode at 19.62 kHz [Fig. 4(f)] is confined only on the zigzag edges, indicating the dominant contribution from the edge mode in the zigzag branch of the infinite graphene marked by the black dot in Fig. 3(b).

Another intriguing property observed in Fig. 4 is that the extended modes in the region from ∼19.61 kHz to ∼20.58 kHz manifest a very interesting behavior. As shown in Fig. 4(b), the translational components $u$, $v$ of the eigenmodes are spread in the whole finite structure but the rotation is localized only in the boundaries. In Fig. 4(c), similar properties as the one in Fig. 4(b) are observed, but now the rotational component is only confined in the zigzag boundaries as the rotation of the edge mode in Fig. 4(f). This highlights a behavior of the dynamics in finite-size granular graphene, where one can find modes with extended translations in the structure while localized rotation on the boundaries. To the best of our knowledge, such a behavior has not been reported before in other graphene structures, and the rich wave physics originates here from the extra rotational degree of freedom. As a result, the rotation of the beads can have a very distinct behavior compared to the translation of the beads in the MGG. This can lead to interesting potential applications like rotation isolation devices in a more general mechanical system and advanced wave control.

The existence of edge waves in the MGG can be confirmed directly in experiment. To observe the edge wave propagation, the experimental setup is the same as the one shown in Fig. 1(c), while a harmonic signal of duration 10 ms with an initial linear ramping has been used as the source. All particles $B$ are still scanned to record the $u$, $v$ components. Figure 5 displays the measurements of total displacement amplitude ($\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$) for two separate times with a signal at 20 kHz. As shown in Fig. 5(a), when $t = 1.7$ ms, the displacements are primarily localized on the zigzag edge while decaying into the bulk. Despite the excitation of bulk waves at this frequency, decay of the bulk wave is expected due to both dissipation and 2D geometrical spreading which provides a better observation of the elastic edge wave at 20 kHz. The numerical simulation of the experimental process is shown.
in Fig. 5(c), where the translational components of just the particles B are shown. A good agreement between experiment and simulation is achieved.

V. TURNING EFFECT OF EDGE WAVES

We now turn our attention to the frequency range at which edge modes coexist in both zigzag and armchair boundaries. For example, since 20 kHz is located in this frequency range, one should expect that when the zigzag edge wave of 20 kHz reaches the corner, this wave can be mode converted into an armchair edge wave. From the eigenmode analysis, we found that around 20 kHz there are several edge modes localized both in the zigzag and armchair boundaries, for example, at 19.86 kHz, 19.98 kHz, and 20.04 kHz [see Fig. 4(e) for the case of 19.88 kHz]. Due to the dissipation, characterized by a decay time \( \tau = 1 \text{ ms} \), these modes possess a finite bandwidth of the order of \( \sim 1/\tau = 1 \text{ kHz} \). Therefore, these modes are all included in the frequency range around 20 kHz due to dissipation. This ensures that a harmonic driving at 20 kHz can excite the edge waves (plus other bulk modes) that turn the corner from zigzag to armchair edges. To observe this phenomenon, the spatial pattern at \( t = 3.7 \text{ ms} \) is depicted in Figs. 5(b) and 5(d). Indeed, wave motions are seen to be localized on both the zigzag and armchair boundaries. This can be further confirmed by the closeup of the experimental spatial pattern of motion at the lower MGG corner as presented in Fig. 5(e). To demonstrate this turning effect more clearly, we have chosen two particles marked by black and blue hexagons in Fig. 5(e) and we plot their time evolution in Fig. 5(f). In addition, Fig. 5(g) provides the spatial distribution of edge waves, which are obtained from experiments and simulations by focusing on rows \( n = 22, \ n = 32 \) and column \( m = 10 \), as labeled in Fig. 5(b) by arrows. In rows \( n = 22 \) and 32 the motion distribution of the mode shows a similar profile (the amplitude is normalized to the first bead on the left zigzag edge), confirming the edge mode property as the motion propagates along the edge while decays very fast into the bulk (a distance of around \( x = 9d \)). For \( m = 10 \), i.e., bottom panel of Fig. 5(g), the translational signal also reveals a localized profile close to the armchair boundary confirming that the movement of beads in the armchair edge is due to the turning effect but not from the bulk modes. Note that, as indicated in Figs. 5(b) and 5(d), due to dissipation and slow propagation velocity, the edge wave at 20 kHz on the armchair edge is damped before propagating a long distance, e.g., \( 15d \). Further investigation of zigzag and armchair edge wave dynamics both with and without losses can be found in Ref. [49]. Note also that the spatial pattern in Fig. 5(b) shows a small asymmetry of wave propagation in the upward and downward directions in the experiments. This is most likely due to uncertainties in the precompression forces and asymmetric excitation of motion due to small misalignment between the driving bead and the setup.

We now investigate the edge wave propagation along a corner of an angle of 120°, which connects a zigzag to another zigzag boundary. Considering that the experimental MGG is still of a finite size \( 21 \times 41 \), there is a free zigzag boundary at \((m, n) = (1, n)\). In order to build the second zigzag boundary, the particles above the line connecting the position \((m, n) = (1, 11)\) and \((m, n) = (12, 1)\) are removed (see Fig. 6). The experimental setup for zigzag to zigzag edge waves measurement is the same as that shown in Fig. 1(c), where a harmonic signal of duration 10 ms with an initial linear ramping and a frequency of 20 kHz has been used as the source at position \((m, n) = (1, 26)\). All particles \( B \) are still scanned to record the \( u, v \) components. Since edge modes are found on the zigzag edges at around 20 kHz, one expects that when the zigzag edge wave reaches the 120° corner, this wave can turn to the other zigzag boundary. To observe this phenomenon, Fig. 6(a) displays the measurements of total displacement amplitude \( \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} \) at a given time after reaching the steady state. Numerically, the simulation of the experimental process is shown in Fig. 6(b), where the translational components of only the particles \( B \) are shown.

Indeed, wave motions are seen to be localized on the new zigzag edge and a good agreement between experiment and simulation is achieved. We can observe that bulk waves

![Figure 6](image-url)

**FIG. 6.** Edge waves observation: turning effect of edge waves from the zigzag to the zigzag boundary. The spatiotemporal patterns of motion for a modified MGG with zigzag to zigzag edges. A harmonic wave at 20 kHz is excited from the source (green star). The experimental result is shown in (a) where the gray zone corresponds to a region not experimentally scanned while (b) is the simulations. (c) Translation distributions of beads motion along the blue and green lines depicted by the arrows in (b).
are also present because there is no gap for bulk mode at this frequency. In addition, Fig. 6(c) provides greater detail for the spatial distribution of zigzag edge waves at a given point of time by focusing on rows n = 18, and cut line from (m, n) = (5, 8) to (m, n) = (9, 20), as labeled in Fig. 6(b) by arrows. The two profiles in Fig. 6(c) have a similar form with the translational signals becoming very weak (the amplitude is normalized to the first bead of each zigzag edge) after a distance of around x = 9d. This confirms that bead movement in the new zigzag boundary is due to the turning effect from the zigzag to zigzag edges but not from the bulk modes.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we propose an artificial graphene called magnetogranular graphene. This structure is composed of stainless steel beads in contact and placed in a properly designed magnetic field. The latter magnetizes the beads resulting in equivalent precompression forces between beads, and thus a mechanically stable structure, free of mechanical borders. The MGG proposed in this work can be used as a perfect experimental benchmark for fundamental study of Dirac and edge wave physics in mechanical systems.

Considering the wave behavior in the MGG, first we obtain the dispersion relation and the Dirac points. Then, we turn our attention to the edge physics of the structure. We show that the MGG supports unconventional edge waves that can exist also in armchair free boundaries, in contrast with genuine or other artificial graphene. In addition, we show that for a range of frequencies, the structure supports edge vibrations both on the zigzag and armchair boundaries. Interesting enough, in this region the bulk modes are extended in their translational motions but localized at the edges regarding their rotation motion. Such a unique behavior has not been reported before to the best of our knowledge. Applications such as rotational isolators could be then designed using MGG or other flexible mechanical metamaterials with rotational elements [51–53].

Moreover, we also demonstrated that the coexistence of edge wave solutions in both zigzag and armchair boundaries lead to a turning effect from zigzag to armchair/zigzag free boundaries. This does not require a full bulk gap, which normally is necessary in the scenario of pseudospin topologically protected wave propagation, like the case of helical edge waves. The role of the topology in MGG, like in the recent works of higher-order topological insulators, is a remaining intriguing question and might lead to potential study of novel topological phase in mechanical systems. Finally, taking advantage of the intrinsic nonlinearities of the granular crystals, the MGG proposed herein offers a perfect platform to explore a wide array of nonlinear bulk, edge waves in mechanical graphene, similarly to solitons [54,55], nonlinear waves [56–59], and breathers [60,61] in simpler crystal structures.
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APPENDIX A: CONTACT DESCRIPTION IN GRANULAR GRAPHENE

Considering the in-plane motion in the magnetogranular graphene (MGG), there are normal, shear, and bending interactions characterized by contact rigidities $\xi_n$, $\xi_s$, and $\xi_b$, respectively, as represented in Fig. 1(c). For stiffness of macroscopic elastic spheres in the MGG, the contact mechanism between the beads can be modeled by the Hertzian contact [47,48]. This leads to the expressions of the rigidities:

$$\xi_n = \left(\frac{3R}{4F_0}\right)^{1/3} E^{2/3}(1 - \nu^2)^{-2/3},$$

$$\xi_s = (6F_0R)^{1/3} E^{2/3} \frac{(1 - \nu^2)^{1/3}}{(2 - \nu)(1 + \nu)},$$

where $R$ is the radius of the bead, $E$ is the Young’s modulus, $\nu$ is Poisson’s ratio, and $F_0$ is the normal precompression between the beads. According to the previous study [41], the bending rigidity can be roughly estimated by

$$\xi_b \sim \frac{\delta}{R} .$$

As long as the physical parameters of the beads are known and the precompression $F_0$ is measured, $\xi_n$, $\xi_s$, and $\xi_b$ can be obtained. In our experiment, the stainless steel beads have the following parameters: Young’s modulus $E = 190$ GPa, Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.3$, diameter $d = 7.95$ mm, and density $\rho = 7678$ kg/m$^3$. The precompression can be determined around $F_0 \sim 1.55$ N by means of measurement. This leads to the following rigidities: $\xi_n \simeq 6.19 \times 10^6$ N/m, $\xi_s \simeq 5.09 \times 10^6$ N/m, and $\xi_b \simeq 3.04 \times 10^5$ N/m.

APPENDIX B: CALCULATION OF DIRAC POINTS

Let us consider the modes at the corner ($K$ point) of the BZ, by applying the periodic boundary condition, i.e., $U_{m,n}^\alpha = U_{m,n}^\alpha e^{i\alpha q_{m} \cdot i q_{n}}$, Eqs. (3) lead to two degenerate modes at the $K$ point:

$$\omega_{D}\xi \pm \sqrt{g^2 - h} = \frac{4M}{4M} .$$

Above, $g = 3(\xi_n + \xi_s + 2(\xi_b + \xi))$, and $h = 72P(\xi_n \xi_b + \xi_s \xi_b + \xi \xi_b) + P = MR^2/I$. These degenerate modes originate from the symmetry of honeycomb lattice, which are two Dirac points with frequencies $\omega_{D}$ at the $K$ point.

APPENDIX C: DISSIPATION

In order to compare the experimental results with the numerical simulations, the attenuation of the wave during the propagation has to be considered. In our model, the attenuation is implemented by a phenomenological linear viscous onsite dissipation [50] considering a time of decay $\tau$ for elastic waves which can take different values as a function
of displacement polarization. This leads to extra terms in the right-hand side of Eqs. (3):

\[ \ddot{U}_{m,n}^A = S_0 U_{m,n}^A + S_1 U_{m,n}^B + S_2 U_{m-1,n+1}^B + \frac{1}{\tau} \dot{U}_{m,n}^A, \]

\[ \ddot{U}_{m,n}^B = D_0 U_{m,n}^B + D_1 U_{m,n}^A + D_2 U_{m+1,n+1}^A + \frac{1}{\tau} \dot{U}_{m,n}^B, \]

(C1a)

(C1b)

Therefore, wave dynamics of the MGG considering dissipation can be described by combining the boundary conditions in Eqs. (4) and Eqs. (5) with Eqs. (C1). We can notice that Eqs. (C1) are second-order ordinary differential equations of time. As a consequence, we numerically obtain the time evolution of elastic wave propagation in the structure by solving Eqs. (C1) using Runge-Kutta fourth-order method. More details about the time evolution of elastic wave propagation in two-dimensional granular crystals can be found in Ref. [42]. By fitting the experimental results with the numerical ones, we can estimate that \( \tau \) is about 1 ms for both polarizations of displacement in our experiment.