



## Current methods to monitor microalgae-nanoparticle interaction and associated effects

Maureen Déniel, Nicolas Errien, Philippe Daniel, Aurore Caruso, Fabienne Lagarde

### ► To cite this version:

Maureen Déniel, Nicolas Errien, Philippe Daniel, Aurore Caruso, Fabienne Lagarde. Current methods to monitor microalgae-nanoparticle interaction and associated effects. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 2019, pp.105311. 10.1016/j.aquatox.2019.105311 . hal-02318758

**HAL Id: hal-02318758**

**<https://univ-lemans.hal.science/hal-02318758>**

Submitted on 21 Dec 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

# Current methods to monitor microalgae-nanoparticle interaction and associated effects

Authors: Maureen Dénier<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Errien<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Daniel<sup>1</sup>, Aurore Caruso<sup>2</sup>, Fabienne Lagarde<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Le Mans Université, IMMM UMR-CNRS 6283, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, France

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire Mer, Molécules, Santé, EA 2160, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, France

maureen.denier@univ-lemans.fr ; nicolas.errien@univ-lemans.fr ; aurore.caruso@univ-lemans.fr ; philippe.daniel@univ-lemans.fr

\* Corresponding author: fabienne.lagarde@univ-lemans.fr, Le Mans Université, IMMM UMR-CNRS 6283, Avenue Olivier Messiaen, 72085 Le Mans Cedex 9, France

This work was supported by the Conseil départemental de la Sarthe and Le Mans Métropole and by ANR CESA (ANR-15-CE34-0006-02, NANOPLASTICS project).

Colour for figures only in online version.

Declaration of interest: no

## Abstract

Widespread use of nanoparticles for different applications has diffused their presence in the environment, particularly in water. Many studies have been conducted to evaluate their effects on aquatic organisms. Microalgae are at the base of aquatic trophic chains. These organisms which can be benthic or pelagic, meaning that they can enter into interaction with all kinds of

particulate materials whatever their density, and constitute an interesting model study. The purpose of this review was to gather more than sixty studies on microalgae exposure to the different nanoparticles that may be present in the aquatic environment. After a brief description of each type of nanoparticle (metals, silica and plastic) commonly used in ecotoxicological studies, techniques to monitor their properties are presented. Then, different effects on microalgae resulting from interaction with nanoparticles are described as well as the parameters and techniques for monitoring them. The impacts described in the literature are primarily shading, ions release, oxidative stress, adsorption, absorption and disruption of microalgae barriers. Several parameters are proposed to monitor effects such as growth, photosynthesis, membrane integrity, biochemical composition variations and gene expression changes. Finally, in the literature, while different impacts of nanoparticles on microalgae have been described, there is no consensus on evidence of nanomaterial toxicity with regard to microalgae. A parallel comparison of different nanoparticle types appears essential in order to prioritize which factors exert the most influence on toxicity in microalgae cultures: size, nature, surface chemistry, concentration or interaction time.

Keywords: microalgae; toxicity; nanoparticles; characterization; interaction

## 1. Introduction

At the nanoscale, materials have properties such as electrical and thermal specific conductivity, which make them interesting to develop novel applications. Nanomaterials are defined by European Union commission (2011) as natural or manufactured materials formed by at least 50% of particles with dimensions comprised between 1 and 100 nm. But this definition is not admitted by all organizations (ISO, USA legislation...) (Jeevanandam et al. 2018). Nanoparticles (NPs) are part of the nanomaterial family and the British Standard institution (2011) define them as materials possessing three external nanoscale dimensions.

For the last two decades, they have been used in a large panel of industrial applications leading to their presence in the aquatic environment, which raises concern about their environmental impact (Moore 2006). Their marked presence in the environment has led to awareness and significant research efforts have been devoted to understanding their potential toxicity on aquatic organisms at the species and cellular levels, as well as the underlying mechanisms. Microalgae are very common organisms present in all aquatic systems and at the lowest level of the trophic chain. Knowledge of their potential interactions with NPs is very sparse and difficult to compare, mostly due to the fact that tracking NPs in a medium as complex as the aquatic environment is still very challenging (Selck et al. 2016). Recent reviews on nanomaterials and the methods to assess their ecotoxicity to marine life, are already present in literature (Handy et al. 2012; Selck et al. 2016) highlighting the challenges and research priorities in this field. To be more specific, the present review synthesizes literature focusing on microalgae and NP interactions in view of analyzing the potential toxicity and impacts of NPs on microalgae. The study of such interaction relies on proper characterization of both NPs and microalgae in order to evaluate their changes during interaction and to improve our understanding of the interaction pathways. The usual strategies

allowing access to such information are presented here together with novel techniques providing new insights into nanomaterial-organism interactions.

In the first part, nanoparticle types, applications and properties are presented to highlight the diversity of these materials. Secondly, different effects arising from interaction with microalgae are described as well as parameters and techniques for monitoring them. Finally, the chemistry of nanoparticles is studied so as to determine whether this property has an impact on interactions.

## **2. NPs types, applications and properties**

The generic term of NP encompasses a wide variety of particles with different natures, origins (natural vs engineered) and applications. Man-made NPs are most often referred to as metallic nanoparticles, and mineral and organic NP are also used for a wide range of applications such as electronics, medicine, cosmetics, packaging, remediation (López-Serrano et al. 2014). As the use of engineered nanomaterials has globally increased in recent years (Lapresta-Fernández et al. 2012), they may leach from engineered products because of their small size, pass through most depuration systems and unintentionally enter the aquatic environment.

### **2.1. Types and applications**

#### **2.1.1. Metals and oxides**

Among the first NPs to be synthesized, NPs in noble metals as silver and gold, are now widely commercialized. Table 1 presents the principal characteristics of the kind of nanoparticles used in ecotoxicological studies. Gold NPs are used not only in the medical field and in molecular biology (Lapresta-Fernández et al. 2012) but also in paints and electronic components (Renault et al. 2008). Silver nanoparticles are employed primarily as

antimicrobial agents in the medical field, in textiles and in cosmetic products (Zhang et al. 2015). Platinum NPs are also used in biomedicine applications, automotive exhaust converters and as electrochemical sensors (Ksiazek et al. 2015). With their catalytic, magnetic and electrical properties, cobalt NPs are used in magnetic fluids and in the biomedical field (Ansari et al. 2017).

Metallic oxide NPs represent a second kind of metallic nanomaterial (Table 2). Copper oxide (CuO) is exploited in antifouling paints for its antimicrobial properties (Almeida et al. 2007; Sandberg et al. 2007). Likewise, studies have focused on cerium oxide NPs as antibacterial products, catalysts and for their use in pharmaceutical drugs (Trovarelli et al. 1999; Celardo et al. 2011). Nickel oxide NPs are frequently used in industry as catalysts for alkaline battery cathodes, electrochromic and magnetic materials or as pigments for ceramics and glass (Gong et al. 2011; Oukarroum et al. 2017). Zinc and titanium oxide NPs are photoreactive. Their utilization as bleaching agents, photocatalysts and transparent agents renders titanium oxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) NPs one of the most produced in the world (Wang et al. 2008; Robichaud et al. 2009). TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs are also widely used in drug delivery, health and in plastic products (Vance et al. 2015). Zinc oxide (ZnO) is a molecule with a high capacity to absorb UV radiations, a property that is used in solar creams, cosmetics and paints (Osmond and McCall 2010; Suman et al. 2015). These ZnO NPs have additional antibacterial properties that explain their presence in toothpaste and textiles (Lee and An 2013; Yung et al. 2017).

Some other metal oxides under nanoparticle forms such as lanthane, aluminium and iron have been less frequently studied. Fuel cell construction, magnetic data storage, biomedicine and sewage treatment are applications of lanthane oxide (La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) NPs (Balusamy et al. 2015). Aluminium oxide nanoparticle applications (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) are linked to polymer modification and hot fluid transfer (Pakrashi et al. 2013). Iron NPs with zero valence (ZVI) are used mostly in

environmental restoration, the food industry and medical diagnosis (Kadar et al. 2012; Adeleye and Keller 2016).

### **2.1.2. Silica (SiO<sub>2</sub>)**

Silica, the main component in the mass of continental crust, can naturally be found as NPs in oceans (Fujiwara et al. 2008). Indeed, it can possess a crystalline or an amorphous form. Crystalline silica is found in the manufacturing industry, mines and is known to be highly toxic by inhalation (silicose, INRS data). Silica NPs (< 100nm) are used in various domains such as cosmetics, anti-caking agents (E551) in food products (Athinarayanan et al. 2014). The OECD has requested amorphous silica NPs as a NP reference in risk and toxicity measurement for nanomaterial evaluation (Aruoja et al. 2015).

### **2.1.3. Plastics**

Particles of plastic are present in the environment and were identified in the 1970s; they form an emerging issue. More specifically, the smallest ones called nanoplastics (whose presence was recently described) (Ter Halle et al. 2017) were considered as being very toxic to *Scenedesmus obliquus* and *Daphnia magna* (Besseling et al. 2014). Tested concentrations in this study are nevertheless higher than environmental concentrations. Nanoplastics have been defined as particles originating from the degradation of larger plastic debris having accumulated for several decades in the aquatic environment. Besides these fragmented particles, polymeric nanobeads (used in several industries) may also enter the aquatic habitat. Such nanobeads, also named polymer colloids, are widely used as material strengtheners, in medical activities (antimicrobial properties, drug delivery...) and in cosmetics products (Daniel and Astruc 2004; Salata 2004; Aitken et al. 2006; Besseling et al. 2014; Nolte et al. 2016). Lastly, polymeric fibers originating from clothes washing have also been detected as they entered the aquatic environment (Falco et al. 2017) through wastewater treatment plants.

Due to the high quantities of plastics entering the aquatic environment each year, around 1.7 kg/day/inhabitant (Jambeck et al. 2015), the potential accumulation of these newly identified nanomaterials is of concern, but their concentration in the environment has yet to be reliably measured. Seven major types of polymers have been identified in the aquatic environment. Nonetheless, in interaction and impact studies, polystyrene is overrepresented (Phuong et al. 2016) due to its commercial availability at diverse sizes (from nano to micro size beads) with different surface charges: neutral, anionic and cationic (Long et al. 2015; Libralato et al. 2017). Some studies have focused on other polymers as PVC, PE and PP but only on a micro scale (Lagarde et al., 2016; Davarpanah et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Concerning nanoplastics, only the impacts of polystyrene NPs on microalgae have been studied so far (Table 3).

## **2.2. NPs properties**

Some properties such as their size are common to all NPs but many other properties differ, so it is important to characterize them (Handy et al. 2012) using as these properties may strongly modify NPs behavior in contact with aquatic organisms. Among a variety of emerging approaches to characterize nanomaterials in ecotoxicological tests (Selck et al., 2016), the techniques described below help to assess changes in the structure and morphology of NPs before or after interactions with microalgae.

### **2.2.1. Nature, shape and size**

The chemical nature of NPs can be determined by Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) SEM or by induced coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) in most cases (excluding plastics), this latter being an emerging tool to also provide size distribution (Selck et al., 2016).



X-ray techniques can offer information on crystalline phase and crystallization type. Sadiq et al. (2011) used X-ray diffraction (XRD) on TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs to identify an anatase phase size of 17 nm. Surface chemistry characterization of cerium oxides was also performed by X-rays to determine Ce<sup>3+</sup>/Ce<sup>4+</sup> ratio (Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015). XRD was used to follow the valence state of nickel NPs (Gong et al. 2011). In the same study, X-ray photoelectronic spectroscopy (XPS) was used to assess nickel bio-reduction and Ni<sup>2+</sup>/Ni<sup>0</sup> ratio. Analysis of EDX, often associated with SEM or TEM, may identify elements in nanoparticle agglomerates. By this technique, the presence of metal oxide NPs translocated into cells can be confirmed (Oukarroum et al. 2017 for nickel oxide and copper oxide nature in Perreault et al. 2012). Moreover, TEM is also an interesting technique in so far as it can also be used for morphologic and crystallographic characterization of NPs (Barwal et al. 2011).

Most studies check to see if the size of NPs after interaction remains the same using different methods among which DLS (Dynamic Light Scattering) predominates (Van Hoecke et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2008; Hartmann et al. 2010; Casado et al. 2013; Pakrashi et al. 2013; Nolte et al. 2016; Adeleye et al. 2016; Iswarya et al. 2016; Bergami et al. 2017; Yue et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018). This non-invasive technique allows characterization of particles in solution by measuring the distribution of their hydrodynamic radius. After interaction of a light beam with particles, Brownian particle motion generates light scattering at different intensities. Light intensity variation is measured and NP diameter is obtained using the Stokes-Einstein relation. DLS is appropriate if the NP size is comprised between 0.5 nm and 10.0 µm. This method also evaluates NP aggregation. In their study, Wang et al. (2008) monitored the impact of interaction on TiO<sub>2</sub> NP size (21 nm). Their observations demonstrate particle agglomeration forming objects of around 900 nm in the culture medium of *C. reinhardtii*, thereby modifying NP properties (i.e. specific surface reduction).

Image analysis in microscopy is also used to assess the size distribution of NPs: Leclerc and Wilkinson used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image analysis on silver NPs, Pulido-Reyes on cerium oxide NP and Aruoja in a comparative study of nanometals (Leclerc and Wilkinson 2014; Aruoja et al. 2015; Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015) to determine the size distribution of particles after interaction. In addition, size measurement comparison by TEM and DLS was performed for silica NPs in interaction with *P. subcapitata*, by Van Hoecke et al. (2008). Their results indicated a difference in measured sizes between the two techniques. They considered DLS more reliable due to a higher analysed volume and to the fact that NPs can be analyzed in their solution, which is a major advantage of this technique.

UV-Visible spectrometry is another technique used to study particle stability in the medium. Peak absorption measurement can be characteristic and give access to information such as the concentration or size of the NPs (Haiss et al. 2007). For example, Renault et al. (2008) monitored the concentration of 10 nm NPs absorbing at a 520 nm wavelength in the culture medium and observed a decrease of gold NP concentration in Arcachon's water compared to ultrapure water. Bhattacharya et al., (2010) also suggested that NP adsorbed on microalgae cell walls could be quantified by UV-visible spectrometry. Light absorption measurements directly performed in the growth medium at 260 nm corresponding to a higher absorbance band of polystyrene facilitated quantification of the concentration of polystyrene NP in the medium.

### **2.2.2. Surface chemistry and charge density**

Zeta potential measurements provide information, by electrophoretic mobility measurements, on the charge density of particles and medium viscosity. . Many of surveys monitoring charge have provided elements on the nature of interaction and ionic forces (Bhattacharya et al. 2010; Hartmann et al. 2010; Saison et al. 2010; Perreault et al. 2012;

Casado et al. 2013; Lee and An 2013; Manier et al. 2013; Pakrashi et al. 2013; von Moos and Slaveykova 2014; Cheloni et al. 2016; Nolte et al. 2016; Adeleye et al. 2016; Bergami et al. 2017; Sendra et al. 2017a). These works have strongly contributed to the understanding of particle stability as recommended by Handy et al. (2012). For example, when studying their stability within a complex medium, Bhattacharya et al., (2010) showed a difference of aggregation behavior in NPs of the same chemical nature but with two different surfactants. This point is very important since many NPs are synthesized with different molecules coated on their surface through which they are stabilized in suspension or given specific properties (cf. Tables 1, 2 and 3).

### **2.2.3. Dissolved fraction**

Eventually, some NPs in solution can undergo ageing in time and may release ions or molecules. Then, it becomes necessary to quantify the dissolved fraction in the medium. In the case of metallic particles, ICP-MS has been extensively used to this purpose: for dissolved nanocopper (Cheloni et al. 2016) or for platinum and silver NPs (Ksiazek et al. 2015; Navarro et al. 2015; Yue et al. 2017). This technique has also been used to track nickel oxide nanoparticle solubility and to quantify bioabsorption rates in cells (Gong et al. 2011).

## **3. Microalgae's role and defence strategies in aquatic systems**

Microalgae are microscopic autotrophic organisms, living in unicellular form or forming colonies, at the base of all aquatic trophic webs. The share of primary production due to oceanic phytoplankton has been estimated at between 40% and 50% of net primary production (NPP) (MacIntyre et al. 2000; Geider et al. 2001). In settling, they confine the carbon of carbon dioxide (Bowler et al. 2009) at the bottom of oceans. Microalgae, which are

present in fresh and sea-water ecosystems, can be divided into two groups. Benthic microalgae are based on aquatic bottoms, while pelagic microalgae are found in the water column and form phytoplankton with cyanophytes, meaning that they can interact with all kinds of particulate materials whatever their density.

Many ecotoxicology studies at the physico-chemistry, molecular biology or biochemistry levels, use microalgae - including green and brown - as models for experimentation. These unicellular organisms can be strongly impacted by various pollutions. The position of primary producers implies that there will be transfers along the trophic chain affecting the organisms consuming them. Consumers can be directly affected by a lack of food - microalgae do not support pollution - or indirectly, by accumulating more and more pollutants via their food (Cedervall et al. 2012; Besseling et al. 2014). However, it has also been shown that microalgae can set up systems of responses to these pollutants allowing them to defend themselves or adapt. These responses can be observed at different levels:

\*morphological responses, with modifications in size and shape as a result of contact with metals (Jamers et al. 2009).

\*biochemical responses, such as phytochelatins, polypeptides which are able to confine metals, are synthesized in *C. reinhardtii* with a post-translational enzymatic pathway to evacuate TME from the cells (Howe and Merchant 1992).

\*molecular responses, such as induction of gene over-expression encoding thioredoxin (cadmium chelation) and glutathione peroxidase ( $H_2O_2$  transformation in  $H_2O$ ) in *C. reinhardtii* in the presence of cadmium ( $115\mu M$ ) to limit damages due to oxidative stress (Jamers et al. 2013).

The defence systems of microalgae against metals have been described in the literature. Are they adapted as protection mechanisms against NPs? Methods of interactions are

presented in the following section to characterize the response of microalgae in the presence of NPs.

## 4. How to highlight microalgae/NP interactions and associated impacts?

### 4.1. Direct interactions visualization: microscopy at different scales

Several techniques of microscopy visualize of interactions between NPs and microalgae (Figure 1) at different scales down to the nanometer range. First, scanning electronic microscopy (SEM) screens elements up to a hundred nanometers by collecting reflection secondary electrons of a sample after excitation by a laser beam. Coupling a X-ray detector with SEM gives the advantage of providing information on the nature of the detected element. For example, Barwal used this type of detector to prove the presence of silver atoms inside *C. reinhardtii* (Barwal et al. 2011).

Second, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) makes it possible to visualize very small particles (until nanometer size) by transmitting electron detection. Despite its time-consuming nature, this technique is regularly used for analysis of metallic NPs such analysis as gold NPs (Schrand et al. 2010). Renault et al. (2008) have notably monitored the impact of the distribution of nanomaterials in *Scenedesmus subspicatus*.

Following interaction with TiO<sub>2</sub> used confocal microscopy was used to visualize NPs (primary size: 35.1 nm, secondary size: 3580 nm), after aggregation at the surface of *P. subcapitata* (Metzler et al. 2011). Drawbacks of this technique compared to the others is that only a visualization of nanomaterials agglomerates is possible due to a detection limit of a few hundred nanometers (Röhder et al. 2014). Moreover, contrary to SEM and TEM, confocal microscopy necessitates fluorophore probe with the risk of fluorophore release (Salvati et al.

278 2011). Other techniques are marginally used, such as dark field microscopy, which diffuses of  
279 structures coupled with hyperspectral imaging. This was proposed by Leclerc and Wilkinson  
280 (2014) in order to visualize internalization of silver particles. Atomic force microscopy  
281 (AFM) is used to study the topographic surface of microalgae (Nolte et al. 2016). Recently,  
282 Raman spectroscopy at 532 nm was proposed as a tool to study the localization of polystyrene  
283 nanoparticles in human cells (Dorney et al. 2012). It is described as optimal in terms of signal  
284 intensity and permits live cell analysis; Ivask et al. (2017) have suggested that it could also be  
285 used in microalgae-nanoparticle interaction studies. Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy  
286 or SERS, has been used on *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* to detect gold NP biosynthesis  
287 (Lahr and Vikesland 2014). The resonance of gold NPs in the SERS technique enhances the  
288 Raman signal of living cells, with high resolution and decreased signal collection times,  
289 permitting non-destructive measurements (Kneipp et al. 2002).





Figure 1: Characterization methods of microalgae-NP interactions: NP properties, detection of NP/microalgae interactions and effects on microalgae.

290

## 291 4.2. Monitoring effects of interactions

Several kinds of interaction between microalgae and NPs are possible. They are summarized in Figure 2: shading, ion release, adsorption, absorption and cell-wall disruption. NP-microalgae interactions may involve changes in microalgae state (physiological, biochemical and molecular). To characterize these impacts, several approaches are necessary and have been presented in the literature. They have been partially collected and are commented on here (Tables S1, S2 and S3 given in supplementary data summarize all the cited studies).



299

*Figure 2: NPs potential interactions with barriers of microalgae (cell-wall and plasmic membrane): ion release (absorption through ionic channel), shading effect, adsorption (block ionic exchange) and absorption by pore pathway, cell-wall disruption or endocytosis.*

300

#### 4.2.1. Growth of microalgae



All type of interactions can impact microalgae growth, which is the most commonly monitored parameter. Measurement of microalgae growth is done mainly by cell count (Renault et al. 2008; Wang et al. 2008; Hartmann et al. 2010; Lee and An 2013; Aruoja et al. 2015; Ksiazzyk et al. 2015; Sjollem et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016; Bergami et al. 2017; Dauda et al. 2017; Oukarroum et al. 2017). Other studies evaluate the health of microalgae by measuring cellular density and chlorophyll fluorescence by flow cytometry (Manier et al. 2013; Melegari et al. 2013; von Moos et al. 2015; Cheloni et al. 2016; M. Sendra et al. 2017a). Morphometry, which is the study and analysis of geometry (3D), is also used to follow microalgae morphological variations after interaction with copper NPs (von Moos et al. 2015).

Several studies have shown an inhibition of growth in *P. subcapitata* in contact with ZnO and TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs (Lee et al. 2013) as well as for silver and platinum NPs (Ksiazzyk et al. 2015).

At a morphological level, cell dimension alterations have been examined after AgNO<sub>3</sub> NP (*Chlorella autotrophica*) and gold NP (*S. subspicatus*) exposure for concentrations of 5 mg/L and 1,6x10<sup>-6</sup> mg/L (Renault et al. 2008; Sendra et al. 2017b). Moreover, modification of cellular complexity (granularity) obtained through a measure of scattered light (Side Scatter, SSC) by flux cytometry, is also observed for Ag and Ce NP at 24 h (Sendra et al. 2017b). According to Saison et al. (2010), cerium oxide NPs increase microalgae granularity and cause aggregation phenomena, which may be accompanied by cellular coloration loss, as in *Chlorella kessleri* in the presence of silica NPs (5 nm) (Fujiwara et al. 2008).

#### **4.2.2. Shading**

The reduction of captured light, called shading phenomena, was described by Schwab et al. (2011) and Cheloni et al. (2016) who showed a correlation between photosynthesis inhibition and shading linked with carbon and oxide copper NPs. Chen et al. (2018)

demonstrated a correlation between growth inhibition and shading linked with carbon, oxide copper and cobalt NPs) on three microalgae species *Platymonas subcordiformis*, *Chaetoceros curvisetus* and *Skeletonema costatum* (Chen et al. 2018). Nevertheless, few studies have taken into account, much less underlined this effect.

Moreover, photosynthesis, a key mechanism of algae functioning, has frequently been explored in interactions (Navarro et al. 2008a; Bhattacharya et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2016) such as the analysis of photosystem II photoinhibition (Saison et al. 2010). Photocatalytic capacity has also been monitored through the quantification of chlorophyll a (Besseling et al. 2014), a pigment found in all microalgae. Extraction of chlorophyll a and quantification by spectrophotometry technic are easy to set up and not expensive but can overestimate chlorophyll content (Sartory 1985; Hosikian et al. 2010). During photosynthesis, CO<sub>2</sub> and water are captured and transformed into sugar and O<sub>2</sub> under light effect. Monitoring CO<sub>2</sub> reduction elucidate the alteration of algal photosynthesis functioning and inform on a possible cellular respiration phenomenon (Bhattacharya et al. 2010). It should be noted that the photosynthetic system is sensitive to the presence of NPs as some researchers have observed a drop in photosynthetic activity (von Moos et al. 2015). Photosynthesis can be impacted in two different manners: (1) either indirectly by the reduction of captured light (2) or directly by photoinhibition of photosystem II and photocatalysis (Navarro et al. 2008a). In *C. reinhardtii* a photosystem II (PS II) photoinhibition mechanism has also been revealed in the presence of cerium oxide NP covered by polystyrene (80 nm; 0,01 g/L), which led to chlorophyll deterioration (Saison et al. 2010).

#### **4.2.3. Ion release**

NPs in solution can release ions and this is particularly frequent in the case of metallic oxides NPs. Concerning silver NP studies (Table 5), most have reported internalisation in

different types of microalgae (*C. reinhardtii*, *C. vulgaris*, *S. obliquus* and *E. gracilis*) due to the release of Ag<sup>+</sup> ions and an agglomeration of ions inside the cell (Navarro et al. 2008b; Barwal et al. 2011; Leclerc 2013; Ksiazek et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016; Yue et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2017). Techniques for quantifying the dissolved fraction have previously been described (§ 2.2.3).

Moreover, ion release can induce oxidative stress and photosynthesis effects on microalgae as has been reported for copper oxide NPs (Saison et al. 2010; Perreault et al. 2012; von Moos et al. 2015). Some NPs such as ZnO and TiO<sub>2</sub> induce a photocatalysis phenomenon in *P. subcapitata* (Lee et al. 2013) leading to reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation taking part in secondary oxidative stress due to ion release.

Oxidative stress occurs more widely after every environmental modification (Pinto et al. 2003). Oxidation phenomenon is a frequently reported mechanism after contact with reactive NPs such as oxides or dissolved particles in ions. Glutathione S transferase (GST) activity, an enzyme implicated in xenobiotic detoxification and in reparation of oxidized macromolecules, was studied by Dauda et al. (2017) in *C. vulgaris* after incubation with TiO<sub>2</sub> NP. Increased enzymatic activity was observed indicating that a cellular defence against ROS had been established (Dauda et al. 2017). In parallel, it was shown by (Leclerc and Wilkinson 2014) that Ag<sup>+</sup> ions salting-out by Ag NP induced ROS production responsible for Ag NP toxicity on *C. reinhardtii*. In this species as well, increased ROS concentration coupled with lipidic membrane peroxidation was brought to light in the presence of copper oxide at 1000 mg/L (Melegari et al. 2013). A majority of studies use ROS quantification to monitor oxidative stress in comparison with GST activity monitoring (Bhattacharya et al. 2010; Lee et al. 2013; Leclerc and Wilkinson 2014, Tables 4, 5 and 6).

#### **4.2.4. Cell-wall and membrane effect**

373 ROS production can cause important cellular damages such as loss of membrane fluidity  
374 and oxidation of unsaturated lipids...(Aruoja et al. 2015; Bhattacharya et al., 2010; Hartmann  
375 et al. 2010; Lapresta-Fernández et al. 2012; Melegari et al. 2013 (by flow cytometry);  
376 Pakrashi et al. 2013; Perreault et al. 2012; Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015; Röhder et al. 2014;  
377 Saison et al. 2010; Sendra et al. 2017a). Several techniques are focused on the ROS  
378 measurement: electron spin resonance (ESR) which is sparsely used but referenced in the  
379 literature (Lapresta-Fernández et al. 2012), fluorescence detection of DCFH-DA (2,7-  
380 dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate) (Saison et al. 2010; von Moos et al. 2015) and the  
381 lipidic peroxidation test with malondialdehyde (MDA) (Wang et al. 2008).

382 Furthermore, changes can appear at a cellular membrane integrity level, which is followed  
383 by the lactate dehydrogenase test, where an increase of cytolytic enzyme in the surrounding  
384 medium reveals membrane damage (Pakrashi et al. 2013). Membrane integrity can also be  
385 monitored by propidium iodide fluorophore, which intercalates in nucleic acid strands of cells  
386 with damaged membranes (Prado et al. 2011; Xia et al. 2015). These alterations can also be  
387 visualized by microscopy. Microalgae structural alterations, for example, can be observed  
388 using TEM and have been viewed as a distortion of cell walls, resulting from the interaction  
389 of *P. subcapitata* with zinc NPs (Lee and An 2013). Microscopy techniques such as AFM can  
390 be used to measure the energy necessary to cell-wall disruption in *Tetraselmis suecica* (Lee et  
391 al. 2013).

392 Cellular metabolism may be impacted as well. Melegari et al. (2013) demonstrated -for  
393 example- decreased esterase activity in the presence of copper oxide. This marker is crucial  
394 for phospholipid membrane metabolism in *C. reinhardtii* (Li et al. 2011).

395 The cell wall and the plasma membrane are cellular compartments that can be particularly  
396 affected by the physical or biochemical impact of NPs, respectively. Studies on *C. vulgaris*

have shown membrane destructuration in the presence of nickel oxide (Gong et al. 2011) and zinc oxide (Suman et al. 2015) NPs. Aluminium oxide NPs at 1 µg/mL leading to cell-wall and membrane damage in *C. ellipsoidea* (Pakrashi et al. 2013). This study was performed by microscopy and a lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) test providing information on membrane integrity.

Indirect effects are also observed on physical barriers of microalgae. In this way, photocatalysis induced by the presence of TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs generates peroxidation of functional groups at the cell wall and membrane levels. Damage at the level of phospholipidic chains in *C. reinhardtii* is revealed by infrared analysis and SEM (Chen et al. 2017). For the same NPs, disruption of the cell wall was observed in *Nitzschia closterium* (Xia et al. 2015).

#### **4.2.5. Adsorption**

A key question raised by many researchers is whether or not these NPs should be adsorbed or if an absorption phenomenon could happen that could lead to particle internalization. NP localisation at the surface (adsorption) or inside cells (absorption) is partially possible through microscopy techniques.

With SEM, Balusamy's study demonstrated the attachment of lanthane oxide (La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) NPs on microalgae without producing morphological changes in *Chlorella sp.* (Balusamy et al. 2015). Contrarily, in the interaction of titane oxide NPs with *C. reinhardtii*, surface morphological modifications due to the presence of NPs have been observed (Chen et al. 2017). Overlapping aspects could be revealed by SEM, as titane oxide NPs (TiO<sub>2</sub>) appears, covering *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata* in several non-uniform layers (Metzler et al. 2011).

By TEM, cerium oxide NPs are detected at the surface but not inside *P. subcapitata* (Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015). Furthermore, a method to observe NP adsorption could be confocal microscopy. With AFM (topographic surface), Nolte et al. (2016) observed a cell

wall with fibrillar structure offering numerous attachment points for polystyrene nanobeads, with preferential adsorption of PS-NH<sub>2</sub>.

Propidium iodide used after interaction of zinc oxide NPs with *P. subcapitata* highlights interaction of NPs with surface sites of the cell wall leads to formation of distorted morphological features and the loss of membrane integrity (Suman et al. 2015).

Van Hoecke et al. (2008) investigated the adhesion of silica NPs at the surface of *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. This adsorption phenomenon was reported for cerium oxide particles in *P. subcapitata* and *C. reinhardtii* (Röhder 2014; Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015), as well. A cell wall attachment could involve a physiologic disorder of microalgae, as a photosynthesis impediment in *Chlorella* with nanopolystyrene (Bhattacharya et al. 2010) or thylakoid disorganization. It has been demonstrated that, after adhesion, TiO<sub>2</sub> particles could also disrupt the cell wall, leading to plasmolysis (Xia et al. 2015). Moreover, plasmolysis, a water loss phenomenon by cell, is also induced after nickel oxide NPs adhesion in *C. vulgaris* (Gong et al. 2011).

Spectroscopic tools (Infrared and Raman) also detect chemical modifications of microalgae (Meng et al. 2014) during interaction with NPs. Chen et al. (2017) monitored TiO<sub>2</sub> NP effects by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy in attenuated total reflection (FTIR-ATR). Infrared spectra monitored a peak decline of functional groups such as C-N, C=O, C-O-C and P=O, which are characteristic of cell-wall and membrane components during photocatalysis of TiO<sub>2</sub> NP at the surface of *C. reinhardtii* (Chen et al. 2017). Infrared spectroscopy was also used to monitor cerium oxide and aluminium oxide nanoparticle effects on microalgae (Pakrashi et al. 2013; Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015). One of the major interests of these techniques, in the case of nanoparticle adsorption on microalgae, is to inform on which chemical groups can be impacted.

In the case of plastics, adsorption mechanisms have been investigated mainly with microplastics (Lagarde et al. 2016). At this size, it has been shown that microalgae formed cohesive hetero-aggregates with plastics by excretion of exopolymeric substances (EPS) leading to their sedimentation (Long et al. 2015). At the nanoscale, adsorption of nanoplastics was observed on *Scenedesmus* and *Chlorella* (Bhattacharya et al. 2010) but in this case, there was no indication of an impact on the sinking rate of microalgae.

#### 4.2.6. Absorption

For very small particles (<20 nm), internalization can be visualised only by TEM technique (Aruoja et al. 2015). NP uptake seems to adopt two ways, one natural via cell wall pores and another unnatural via mechanical destructuration. The cell wall is semi-permeable and can allow the crossing of very small particles (Navarro et al. 2008a). Once the uptake had been completed, in which cellular compartments were NPs found?

Regarding the uptake of NPs, nickel oxide NP agglomerates were observed by TEM in the cytoplasm of *C. vulgaris* (Oukarroum et al. 2017). In the same manner, copper oxide aggregates were found in *C. reinhardtii* in the same compartment (Perreault et al. 2012). In addition, cerium oxide NPs were found in *C. reinhardtii* around cells and intracellular vesicles (Taylor et al. 2016).

TEM verified the internalization of silver, cerium, silica particles (Fujiwara et al. 2008; Taylor et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016). In some studies, aggregation was observed inside the cell. Nickel oxide nanometric agglomerates are detected in *C. vulgaris* cytoplasm (Gong et al. 2011; Oukarroum et al. 2017), supposing NP uptake after plasma membrane disruption. Moreover, in *C. reinhardtii*, Perreault et al., (2012) showed copper oxide aggregates of one hundred nanometers potentially stocked in vacuoles.

#### 4.2.7. Impact at gene level

Various monitoring techniques of molecular response have been described in the literature. Techniques such as SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and MALDI MS-MS mass spectrometry are utilized to identify proteins associated with silver NPs (Barwal et al. 2011).

After stress or direct environmental disruption, genetic expression offers a means of following over/under-expression of genes. A RT-PCR (Reverse Transcriptase-Polymerase Chain Reaction) study has been performed on genes of oxidative stress responses (superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, catalase, and phototaxis). In this study, gene transcripts linked with photosynthesis (psbA, nucleic gene encoding protein of liaison D1, part of photosystem II reactive body; rbcS, small subunit gene of ribulose 1-5 bis phosphate carboxylase) and with carotenoid biosynthesis pathway, (pds, gene encoding phytoene desaturase) are also tracked (Wang et al. 2008). An exopolysaccharide biosynthesis pathway may also be studied by quantitative PCR. Gene expression of UDP glucose 4-6 dehydratase (UGLD), UDP-glucuronate decarboxylase (UGD), UDP glucose 4-epimerase (UGE) and phosphoglucomutase (PG), implicated in the biosynthesis pathway of polysaccharides have been monitored after interaction of microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* with microplastics (Lagarde et al. 2016). In addition, a transcriptomic approach was proposed by Taylor et al. (2016), consisting of a gene survey encoding photosynthesis- implicated proteins. An ARN study by sequencing (Simon et al. 2013) and an ARN messenger expression of CTR<sub>2</sub> protein (copper carrier) (Leclerc and Wilkinson 2014) have also been reported for NPs.

The presence of particles inside the cell may modify the gene expression of microalgae. A long-term study (70 days) with polypropylene and high-density polyethylene microplastics has shown over-expression of the genes associated with the xylose biosynthesis pathway (UGD: UDP-glucuronate decarboxylase) in *C. reinhardtii* (Lagarde et al. 2016). To our knowledge, the same mechanisms could be suggested in the presence of nanoplastics but no



493 study on sugar biosynthesis pathway gene expression has been conducted so far in  
494 microalgae.

495 Finally, Simon et al. (2013) reported for *C. reinhardtii* a decrease at the transcript level  
496 linked with photosynthesis (growth reduction) in the presence of titane oxide or zinc NPs, and  
497 a rise of gene transcripts linked to proteasome (increase of detoxification system, proteins  
498 bend incorrectly). This study also underlines an enhancement of transcript levels encoding  
499 cell wall components in the presence of silver NPs (Simon et al. 2013). Schiavo et al. (2016)  
500 followed the genotoxicity of ZnO NPs with a COMET assay and reported effects from 5 mg  
501 Zn/L.

502

## 503 **5. Conclusion: Which NPs for which measured impact?**

504 According to NP properties, measured impact on microalgae can widely differ. More than  
505 sixty studies investigating the exposure of different microalgae to NPs with their reported  
506 effects (see supplementary data) were summarized in Table 4 to investigate which NPs lead to  
507 what impacts?

508 Silver is one of the most widely studied NPs (17/60 studies) as it is suspected of toxicity  
509 contrarily to lanthanum oxide ( $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ ), aluminium oxide ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ), nickel oxide (NiO) and  
510 manganese oxide (MgO) NP (Table 4). Most studies have reported internalization of Ag in  
511 different types of microalgae (*C. reinhardtii*, *C. vulgaris*, *S. obliquus* and *E. gracilis*) due to  
512 the release of  $\text{Ag}^+$  ions and an agglomeration of ions inside the cell (Navarro et al. 2008b;  
513 Barwal et al. 2011; Leclerc 2013; Ksiazek et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016; Yue et al. 2017;  
514 Zhang et al. 2017). In most of these studies, the toxicity of Ag NPs appeared at very low  
515 concentrations (0.01 mg/L (Leclerc and Wilkinson 2014), Table S1). Microalgae

516 photosynthesis was impacted by Ag NPs between 0.03 and 5 mg/L (Leclerc 2013; Navarro et  
517 al. 2015; Lodeiro et al. 2017; Sendra et al. 2017b; Yue et al. 2017; L. Zhang et al. 2017).  
518 Moreover, toxic concentrations varied from 0.26 to 4.91 mg/L (Table S1), depending on the  
519 surfactant, for the same Ag NPs (Navarro et al. 2015). However, in two of these studies no  
520 adverse effects were reported for a concentration of  $4.63 \times 10^{-4}$  M Ag NP on *Thalassiosira*  
521 *weissflogi* and *Chlorella vulgaris* (Miao et al. 2009; Eroglu et al. 2013). While other pure  
522 metallic NPs such as Au, Co or Pt NPs have been less widely studied, internalization was also  
523 observed on *Scenedesmus suspicatus* and *C. reinhardtii*, for small Au NPs (10 nm; Renault et  
524 al., 2008) whereas it did not appear for Co NPs. All metallic NPs presented an effect on  
525 growth and photosynthesis activity when investigated. As for Ag, the toxicity of Co NPs was  
526 supposedly induced by release of  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  ions, showing that the quantification of ion release is  
527 of major importance when studying the interaction of microorganisms with metallic NPs. In  
528 addition, the aggregation of Co NPs may lead to a shading effect on three microalgae species:  
529 *Platymonas subcordiformis*, *Chaetoceros curvisetus* and *Skeletonema costatum* (Chen et al.  
530 2018). Overall, decrease of microalgae growth and photosynthesis activity are the most  
531 widely studied criteria, and they appear to be impacted in the majority of studies involving  
532 metallic and metallic oxide NPs, findings supporting the idea that metal containing NPs are  
533 toxic to microalgae. However, in all cases except  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs, the growth inhibition was  
534 monitored together with metallic ion release, raising questions on the actual toxicity of  
535 particles compared to ions (Lee and An 2013; Suman et al. 2015; Schiavo et al. 2016; Yung et  
536 al. 2017). Meanwhile, some studies still reported no effect at all of NPs on microalgae, for  
537 example Miller et al. (2010), who did not note any effect of  $\text{TiO}_2$  NPs. Balusamy et al. (2015)  
538 reported no effect at all for lanthane oxide ( $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ ) NPs at a high concentration (1000 mg/L),  
539 on *Chorella sp.* growth but for the same concentration, lethal effects were observed in  
540 *Daphnia magna*, showing that microalgae response is species-specific. On the contrary,

amorphous silica NPs, considered as biosafe, affected the growth of freshwater microalgae according to Fujiwara et al. (2008) and Van Hoecke et al. (2008).

Another issue which may modify the effects of NPs on microalgae concerns particle surface properties, and this parameter calls for in-depth characterization in exposure tests. The coating effect of ZnO was studied by Yung et al. (2017) who showed that hydrophilic NPs inhibited microalgae growth more than hydrophobic NPs. The surface properties of NPs were likewise highlighted in impact measurements with the recent upsurge of research on nanoplastics. Several studies reporting the effect of polystyrene (PS) NPs have been carried out in various environments: fresh, brackish and sea-water (Besseling et al. 2014; Sjollema et al. 2016; Bergami et al. 2017). Growth inhibition of *P. subcapitata* and *Scenedesmus obliquus* has been described with amide-polystyrene NPs (Besseling et al. 2014; Nolte et al. 2016; Bergami et al. 2017) whereas this phenomenon has not been observed with polystyrene nanoparticles coated with carboxylic chemical groups, suggesting the importance of nanoparticle surfactants (Table 6, Bhattacharya et al. 2010; Nolte et al. 2016; Bergami et al. 2017). PS NPs with NH<sub>2</sub> groups at their surface induced higher oxidative stress compared to COOH coating, and toxicity was observed at lower concentrations (12.97 mg/L vs. more than 100 mg/L for COOH, Bhattacharya et al. 2010; Bergami et al. 2017). These results show that monitoring the surface properties of NPs is of major importance in understanding interaction pathways.

Different sizes of PS NP, ranging from 20 to 500 nm, have also been tested, but no internalization of polymeric NPs has been mentioned (Table 4, S3). The minimal observed toxicity concentration of PS NPs was found to be 6.5 mg/L (Bhattacharya et al. 2010). However, the effects of nanoplastics on microalgae have been only sparsely described due to the difficulty of their detection compared to metallic NPs and the low number of kinds of nanoplastics that are currently commercially available. Lastly, even if the effects all of all

kinds of studied particles are often described as size-dependent, up until now no direct correlation between the size of NPs and the described effects has been found. For example, Fujiwara et al. (2008) suggested that the toxicity of silica NP was size-dependent, while Van Hoecke et al. (2008) observed similar effects for two silica NP with different sizes and different surface areas.

Overall, characterizing the properties of NPs in exposure experiments appears decidedly important as a means of understanding the interaction pathways and potential impacts of these particles on microalgae. Among several parameters currently used to monitor impacts (photosynthesis, membrane integrity, biochemical composition changes, gene expression changes...) growth is one the simpler parameters to record, and remains the most widely mentioned as an indicator of nanoparticle toxicity on microalgae (Table 4). However, the other parameters may be more informative as regards the mechanisms involved during interaction and should also be accurately monitored. The most frequently used techniques in nanoparticle-microalgae interaction monitoring are microscopy, biochemical assay (ROS, propidium iodide quantification), genetic tools and spectroscopy. All of them provide data that are complementary and most of the time, several are necessary to understand the impacts of interaction on microalgae while providing sensitive information on NP properties and modifications.

To conclude, among all the studies reported here, size, NP concentration and time of interaction have greatly varied a fact rendering comparison difficult. What is more, methods of characterization have differed from one study to another, with each of them providing only some pieces of evidence. NPs is a generic name encompassing a wide-ranging variety of materials that can pronouncedly differ in chemical nature, shape, surface properties and size. It consequently appears very difficult to identify common pathways in their interactions with microalgae. And lastly, primary producers also present diversified shapes and barriers

591 (frustule, cell-wall, plasmic membrane) which can be an obstacle to interpretation of the key  
 592 NP factors resulting in the impacts.

593 *Table 4: Effect synthesis of NPs interactions with microalgae. Red: effect reported in a majority of*  
 594 *studies (more than half), orange: effect reported in some studies but no frequently mentioned (less*  
 595 *than half), yellow: effect no clearly demonstrates (studies VS studies), green: no effect, white: not*  
 596 *investigated*

NP type	Study number	Recorded effect	Growth	Oxidative stress	Photosynthesis	Internalisation	Ions	Others
Ag	17	15/17						Gene overexpressed
CeO <sub>2</sub>	15	12/15						Adsorption
								Cell membrane damage
ZnO	14	13/14						
PS	13	9/13						
TiO <sub>2</sub>	11	9/11						Modification gene expression
								Shading effect
CuO	5	5/5						
Au	4	3/4						Adsorption
Co	3	3/3						Adsorption
NiO	2	2						
Si	2	2						
Pt	1	1						
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1	0						

Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1	1						
MgO	1	1						
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1	1						
QD	1	1						

597

598

## 599 Bibliography

- 600 Adeleye AS, Keller AA. 2016. Interactions between Algal Extracellular Polymeric Substances  
601 and Commercial TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles in Aqueous Media. *Environ Sci Technol.* 50(22): 12258–  
602 12265. doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b03684.
- 603 Adeleye AS, Stevenson LM, Su Y, Nisbet RM, Zhang Y, Arturo AK. 2016. Influence of  
604 Phytoplankton on Fate and Effects of Modified Zerovalent Iron Nanoparticles. *Environ Sci*  
605 *Technol.*
- 606 Aitken RJ, Chaudhry MQ, Boxall ABA, Hull M. 2006. Manufacture and use of  
607 nanomaterials: Current status in the UK and global trends. *Occup Med (Chic Ill).* 56(5):300–  
608 306. doi:10.1093/occmed/kql051.
- 609 Almeida E, Diamantino TC, De Sousa O. 2007. Marine paints: The particular case of  
610 antifouling paints. *Prog Org Coatings.* 59(1):2–20. doi:10.1016/j.porgcoat.2007.01.017.
- 611 Ansari SM, Bhor RD, Pai KR, Sen D, Mazumder S, Ghosh K, Kolekar YD, Ramana C V.  
612 2017. Cobalt nanoparticles for biomedical applications: Facile synthesis, physiochemical  
613 characterization, cytotoxicity behavior and biocompatibility. *Appl Surf Sci.* 414:171–187.  
614 doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.03.002.
- 615 Aruoja V, Pokhrel S, Sihtmäe M, Mortimer M, Mädlar L, Kahru A. 2015. Toxicity of 12  
616 metal-based nanoparticles to algae, bacteria and protozoa. *Environ Sci Nano.* 2(6):630–644.  
617 doi:10.1039/C5EN00057B.
- 618 Athinarayanan J, Periasamy VS, Alsaif MA, Al-Warthan AA, Alshatwi AA. 2014. Presence  
619 of nanosilica (E551) in commercial food products: TNF-mediated oxidative stress and altered  
620 cell cycle progression in human lung fibroblast cells. *Cell Biol Toxicol.* 30(2):89–100.  
621 doi:10.1007/s10565-014-9271-8.
- 622 Balusamy B, Taştan BE, Ergen SF, Uyar T, Tekinay T. 2015. Toxicity of lanthanum oxide  
623 (La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) nanoparticles in aquatic environments. *Environ Sci Process Impacts.* 17(7):1265–70.  
624 doi:10.1039/c5em00035a.
- 625 Barwal I, Ranjan P, Kateriya S, Yadav S. 2011. Cellular oxido-reductive proteins of  
626 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* control the biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles. *J*  
627 *Nanobiotechnology.* 9(1):56. doi:10.1186/1477-3155-9-56.
- 628 Bergami E, Pugnali S, Vannuccini ML, Manfra L, Faleri C, Savorelli F, Dawson KA, Corsi  
629 I. 2017. Long-term toxicity of surface-charged polystyrene nanoplastics to marine planktonic  
630 species *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and *Artemia franciscana*. *Aquat Toxicol.* 189(March):159–169.  
631 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.06.008.
- 632 Besseling E, Wang B, Lüring M, Koelmans AA, Lüring M, Koelmans AA. 2014.  
633 Nanoplastic affects growth of *S. obliquus* and reproduction of *D. magna*. *Environ Sci*  
634 *Technol.* 48(20):12336–12343. doi:10.1021/es503001d.
- 635 Bhattacharya P, Sijie L, James PT, Pu Chun K. 2010. Physical Adsorption of Charged Plastic  
636 Nanoparticles Affects Algal Photosynthesis. *J Phys Chem C.* 114(October):16556.  
637 doi:10.1021/jp1054759.
- 638 Bowler C, Karl DM, Colwell RR. 2009. Microbial oceanography in a sea of opportunity.  
639 *Nature.* 459(7244):180–184. doi:10.1038/nature08056.

640 Burchardt AD, Carvalho RN, Valente A, Nativo P, Gilliland D, García CP, Passarella R,  
641 Pedroni V, Rossi F, Lettieri T. 2012. Effects of silver nanoparticles in diatom *Thalassiosira*  
642 *pseudonana* and cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. Environ Sci Technol. 46(20):11336–44.  
643 doi:10.1021/es300989e.

644 Casado MP, Macken A, Byrne HJ. 2013. Ecotoxicological assessment of silica and  
645 polystyrene nanoparticles assessed by a multitrophic test battery. Environ Int.  
646 51(January):97–105. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2012.11.001.

647 Cedervall T, Hansson LA, Lard M, Frohm B, Linse S. 2012. Food chain transport of  
648 nanoparticles affects behaviour and fat metabolism in fish. PLoS One. 7(2):1–6.  
649 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032254.

650 Celardo I, Pedersen JZ, Traversa E, Ghibelli L. 2011. Pharmacological potential of cerium  
651 oxide nanoparticles. Nanoscale. 3(4):1411. doi:10.1039/c0nr00875c.

652 Cheloni G, Marti E, Slaveykova VI. 2016. Interactive effects of copper oxide nanoparticles  
653 and light to green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Aquat Toxicol. 170:120–8.  
654 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.11.018.

655 Chen X, Lu R, Liu P, Li X. 2017. Effects of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> on *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* cell  
656 surface under UV, natural light conditions. J Wuhan Univ Technol Sci Ed. 32(1):217–222.  
657 doi:10.1007/s11595-017-1583-0.

658 Chen X, Zhang C, Tan L, Wang J. 2018. Toxicity of Co nanoparticles on three species of  
659 marine microalgae. Environ Pollut. 236:454–461. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.01.081.

660 Commission TE. 2011. Recommendation de la commission relative à la définition des  
661 nanomatériaux. J Off Eur union. (October 2011).

662 Daniel M-C, Astruc D. 2004. Gold Nanoparticles: Assembly, Supramolecular Chemistry,  
663 Quantum-Size-Related Properties, and Applications toward Biology, Catalysis, and  
664 Nanotechnology. Chem Rev. 104(1):293–346. doi:10.1021/cr030698+.

665 Dauda S, Chia MA, Bako SP. 2017. Toxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles to *Chlorella*  
666 *vulgaris* Beyerinck (Beijerinck) 1890 (Trebouxiophyceae, Chlorophyta) under changing  
667 nitrogen conditions. Aquat Toxicol. 187:108–114. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2017.03.020.

668 Dorney J, Bonnier F, Garcia A, Casey A, Chambers G, Byrne HJ. 2012. Identifying and  
669 localizing intracellular nanoparticles using Raman spectroscopy. Analyst. 137(5):1111.  
670 doi:10.1039/c2an15977e.

671 Eroglu E, Eggers PK, Winslade M, Smith SM, Raston CL. 2013. Enhanced accumulation of  
672 microalgal pigments using metal nanoparticle solutions as light filtering devices. Green  
673 Chem. 15(11):3155–3159. doi:10.1039/C3GC41291A.

674 Falco F De, Pia M, Gentile G, Di E, Escudero R, Villalba R, Mossotti R, Montarsolo A,  
675 Gavignano S, Tonin C, et al. 2017. Evaluation of microplastic release caused by textile  
676 washing processes of synthetic fabrics. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2017.10.057.

677 Fujiwara K, Suematsu H, Kiyomiya E, Aoki M, Sato M, Moritoki N. 2008. Size-dependent  
678 toxicity of silica nano-particles to *Chlorella kessleri*. J Environ Sci Heal Part A. 43(10):1167–  
679 1173. doi:10.1080/10934520802171675.



680 Geider RJ, Delucia EH, Falkowski PG, Finzi C, Grime JP, Grace J, Kana TM, La Roche J,  
681 Long SP, Osborne B, et al. 2001. Primary productivity of planet earth: biological determinants  
682 and physical constraints in terrestrial and aquatic habitats. *Glob Chang Biol.* 7(8):849–882.  
683 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001.00448.x.

684 Gong N, Shao K, Feng W, Lin Z, Liang C, Sun Y. 2011. Biototoxicity of nickel oxide  
685 nanoparticles and bio-remediation by microalgae *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Chemosphere.*  
686 83(4):510–516. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.12.059.

687 Haiss W, Thanh TKN, Aveyard J, Fernig GD. 2007. Determination of size and concentration  
688 of gold nanoparticles from extinction spectra. *Anal Chem.* 79(11):4215–4221.  
689 doi:10.1021/ac800834n.

690 Ter Halle A, Jeanneau L, Martignac M, Jardé E, Pedrono B, Brach L, Gigault J. 2017.  
691 Nanoplastic in the North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre. *Environ Sci Technol.* 51(23):13689–  
692 13697. doi:10.1021/acs.est.7b03667.

693 Hartmann NB, Von der Kammer F, Hofmann T, Baalousha M, Ottofuelling S, Baun A. 2010.  
694 Algal testing of titanium dioxide nanoparticles-Testing considerations, inhibitory effects and  
695 modification of cadmium bioavailability. *Toxicology.* 269(2–3):190–197.  
696 doi:10.1016/j.tox.2009.08.008.

697 He M, Yan Y, Pei F, Wu M, Gebreluel T, Zou S, Wang C. 2017. Improvement on lipid  
698 production by *Scenedesmus obliquus* triggered by low dose exposure to nanoparticles. *Sci*  
699 *Rep.* 7(1):15526. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-15667-0.

700 Van Hoecke K, De Schampheleere KAC, Van der Meeren P, Lucas S, Janssen CR. 2008.  
701 Ecotoxicity of Silica Nanoparticles To the Green Alga *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*:  
702 Importance of Surface Area. *Environ Toxicol Chem.* 27(9):1948. doi:10.1897/07-634.1.

703 Hosikian A, Lim S, Halim R, Danquah MK. 2010. Chlorophyll extraction from microalgae: A  
704 review on the process engineering aspects. *Int J Chem Eng.*(May). doi:10.1155/2010/391632.

705 Howe G, Merchant S. 1992. Heavy Metal-Activated Synthesis of Peptides in *Chlamydomonas*  
706 *reinhardtii*. *Plant Physiol.* 98:127–136. doi:10.1104/pp.98.1.127.

707 Hu J, Wang J, Liu S, Zhang Z, Zhang H, Cai X, Pan J, Liu J. 2017. Effect of TiO<sub>2</sub>  
708 nanoparticle aggregation on marine microalgae *Isochrysis galbana*. *J Environ Sci.*:1–8.  
709 doi:10.1016/j.jes.2017.05.026.

710 Imhof HK, Rusek J, Thiel M, Wolinska J, Laforsch C. 2017. Do microplastic particles affect  
711 *Daphnia magna* at the morphological, life history and molecular level? Hwang J-S, editor.  
712 *PLoS One.* 12(11):e0187590. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0187590.

713 Institution BS. 2011. PAS 71:2011, Nanoparticles. Vocabulary. London, United Kingdom:  
714 BSI.

715 Iswarya V, Johnson JB, Parashar A, Pulimi M, Chandrasekaran N, Mukherjee A. 2016.  
716 Modulatory effects of Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions on the toxicity of citrate- and PVP-capped gold nanoparticles  
717 towards freshwater algae, *Scenedesmus obliquus*. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.*  
718 doi:10.1007/s11356-016-8131-x.

719 Ivask A, Mitchell AJ, Malysheva A, Voelcker NH, Lombi E. 2017. Methodologies and  
720 approaches for the analysis of cell-nanoparticle interactions. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev*

721 Nanomedicine Nanobiotechnology: e1486. doi:10.1002/wnan.1486.

722 Jambeck JR, Geyer R, Wilcox C, Siegler TR, Perryman M, Andrady A, Narayan R, Lavender  
723 K. 2015. Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science* (80- ). 347(January):768–771.  
724 doi:10.1126/science.1260352.

725 Jamers A, Blust R, De Coen W, Griffin JL, Jones OAH. 2013. An omics based assessment of  
726 cadmium toxicity in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Aquat Toxicol.* 126:355–364.  
727 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.09.007.

728 Jamers A, Lenjou M, Deraedt P, Bockstaele D Van, Blust R, Coen W de. 2009. Flow  
729 cytometric analysis of the cadmium-exposed green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*  
730 (Chlorophyceae). *Eur J Phycol.* 44(4):541–550. doi:10.1080/09670260903118214.

731 Jeevanandam J, Barhoum A, Chan YS, Dufresne A, Danquah MK. 2018. Review on  
732 nanoparticles and nanostructured materials: History, sources, toxicity and regulations.  
733 *Beilstein J Nanotechnol.* 9(1):1050–1074. doi:10.3762/bjnano.9.98.

734 Kadar E, Rooks P, Lakey C, White DA. 2012. The effect of engineered iron nanoparticles on  
735 growth and metabolic status of marine microalgae cultures. *Sci Total Environ.* 439:8–17.  
736 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.09.010.

737 Kneipp K, HaKa AS, Kneipp H, Badizadegan K, Yoshizawa N, Boone C, Feld MIS. 2002.  
738 Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy in Single Living. *Appl Spectrosc.* 56(2):150–154.

739 Ksiazek M, Asztemborska M, Steborowski R, Bystrzejewska-Piotrowska G. 2015. Toxic  
740 effect of silver and platinum nanoparticles toward the freshwater microalga  
741 *Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata*. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol.* 94(5):554–558.  
742 doi:10.1007/s00128-015-1505-9.

743 Kulacki KJ, Cardinale BJ. 2012. Effects of Nano-Titanium Dioxide on Freshwater Algal  
744 Population Dynamics. *PLoS One.* 7(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047130.

745 Kustov LM, Abramenko NB. 2016. Study of ecotoxicity of silver nanoparticles using algae.  
746 *Russ J Phys Chem A.* 90(11):2217–2220. doi:10.1134/S0036024416110121.

747 Lagarde F, Olivier O, Zanella M, Daniel P, Hiard S, Caruso A. 2016. Microplastic  
748 interactions with freshwater microalgae: Hetero-aggregation and changes in plastic density  
749 appear strongly dependent on polymer type. *Environ Pollut.* 215:331–339.  
750 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.006.

751 Lahr R, Vikesland P. 2014. Surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) cellular imaging  
752 of intracellularly biosynthesized gold nanoparticles. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng.:A-J.*  
753 doi:10.1021/sc500105n.

754 Lapresta-Fernández A, Fernández A, Blasco J. 2012. Nanoecotoxicity effects of engineered  
755 silver and gold nanoparticles in aquatic organisms. *TrAC - Trends Anal Chem.* 32(797):40–  
756 59. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2011.09.007.

757 Leclerc S. 2013. La bioaccumulation d'une nanoparticule d'argent (nAg) par l'algue verte  
758 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*: distinguer la contribution de la particule de celle de l'ion Ag<sup>+</sup>.

759 Leclerc S, Wilkinson KJ. 2014. Bioaccumulation of nanosilver by *Chlamydomonas*  
760 *reinhardtii* - Nanoparticle or the free ion? *Environ Sci Technol.* 48(1):358–364.

761 doi:10.1021/es404037z.

762 Lee AK, Lewis DM, Ashman PJ. 2013. Force and energy requirement for microalgal cell  
 763 disruption: An atomic force microscope evaluation. *Bioresour Technol.* 128:199–206.  
 764 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2012.10.032.

765 Lee WM, An YJ. 2013. Effects of zinc oxide and titanium dioxide nanoparticles on green  
 766 algae under visible, UVA, and UVB irradiations: No evidence of enhanced algal toxicity  
 767 under UV pre-irradiation. *Chemosphere.* 91(4):536–544.  
 768 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.12.033.

769 Li J, Ou D, Zheng L, Gan N, Song L. 2011. Applicability of the fluorescein diacetate assay  
 770 for metabolic activity measurement of *Microcystis aeruginosa* (Chroococcales,  
 771 Cyanobacteria). *Phycol Res.* 59(3):200–207. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1835.2011.00618.x.

772 Li X. 2015. Interactions of silver and polystyrene nanoparticles with algae. *École*  
 773 *polytechnique de Lausanne.*

774 Libralato G, Galdiero E, Falanga A, Carotenuto R, de Alteriis E, Guida M. 2017. Toxicity  
 775 Effects of Functionalized Quantum Dots, Gold and Polystyrene Nanoparticles on Target  
 776 Aquatic Biological Models: A Review. *Molecules.* 22(9):1439.  
 777 doi:10.3390/molecules22091439.

778 Lodeiro P, Browning TJ, Achterberg EP, Guillou A. 2017. Mechanisms of silver nanoparticle  
 779 toxicity to the coastal marine diatom *Chaetoceros curvisetus*. *Sci Rep.*(June):1–10.  
 780 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11402-x.

781 Long M, Moriceau B, Gallinari M, Lambert C, Huvet A, Raffray J, Soudant P. 2015.  
 782 Interactions between microplastics and phytoplankton aggregates: Impact on their respective  
 783 fates. *Mar Chem.* 175:39–46. doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2015.04.003.

784 López-Serrano A, Olivas RM, Landaluze JS, Cámara C. 2014. Nanoparticles: a global vision.  
 785 Characterization, separation, and quantification methods. Potential environmental and health  
 786 impact. *Anal Methods.* 6(1):38–56. doi:10.1039/C3AY40517F.

787 MacIntyre HL, Kana TM, Geider RJ. 2000. The effect of water motion on short-term rates of  
 788 photosynthesis by marine phytoplankton. *Trends Plant Sci.* 5:12–17.

789 Manier N, Bado-Nilles A, Delalain P, Aguerre-Chariol O, Pandard P. 2013. Ecotoxicity of  
 790 non-aged and aged CeO<sub>2</sub> nanomaterials towards freshwater microalgae. *Environ Pollut.*  
 791 180:63–70. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.04.040.

792 Manier N, Garaud M, Delalain P, Aguerre-Chariol O, Pandard P. 2011. Behaviour of ceria  
 793 nanoparticles in standardized test media – influence on the results of ecotoxicological tests. *J*  
 794 *Phys Conf Ser.* 304:012058. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/304/1/012058.

795 Melegari SP, Perreault F, Costa RHR, Popovic R, Matias WG. 2013. Evaluation of toxicity  
 796 and oxidative stress induced by copper oxide nanoparticles in the green alga *Chlamydomonas*  
 797 *reinhardtii*. *Aquat Toxicol.* 142–143:431–40. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.09.015.

798 Meng Y, Yao C, Xue S, Yang H. 2014. Application of Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR)  
 799 spectroscopy in determination of microalgal compositions. *Bioresour Technol.* 151:347–354.  
 800 doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2013.10.064.

801 Metzler DM, Li M, Erdem A, Huang CP. 2011. Responses of algae to photocatalytic nano-  
802 TiO<sub>2</sub> particles with an emphasis on the effect of particle size. Chem Eng J. 170(2–3):538–  
803 546. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2011.02.002.

804 Miao AJ, Luo Z, Chen CS, Chin WC, Santschi PH, Quigg A. 2010. Intracellular uptake: A  
805 possible mechanism for silver engineered nanoparticle toxicity to a freshwater alga  
806 *Ochromonas danica*. PLoS One. 5(12):6–13. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015196.

807 Miao AJ, Schwehr KA, Xu C, Zhang SJ, Luo Z, Quigg A, Santschi PH. 2009. The algal  
808 toxicity of silver engineered nanoparticles and detoxification by exopolymeric substances.  
809 Environ Pollut. 157(11):3034–3041. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2009.05.047.

810 Miller RJ, Lenihan HS, Muller EB, Tseng N, Hanna SK, Keller AA. 2010. Impacts of Metal  
811 Oxide Nanoparticles on Marine Phytoplankton. Environ Sci Technol. 44(19):7329–7334.  
812 doi:10.1021/es100247x.

813 Moore MN. 2006. Do nanoparticles present ecotoxicological risks for the health of the aquatic  
814 environment? Environ Int. 32(8):967–976. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2006.06.014.

815 Von Moos N, Maillard L, Slaveykova VI. 2015. Dynamics of sub-lethal effects of nano-CuO  
816 on the microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* during short-term exposure. Aquat Toxicol.  
817 161:267–275. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.02.010.

818 Von Moos N, Slaveykova VI. 2014. Oxidative stress induced by inorganic nanoparticles in  
819 bacteria and aquatic microalgae--state of the art and knowledge gaps. Nanotoxicology.  
820 8(6):605–630. doi:10.3109/17435390.2013.809810.

821 Navarro E, Baun A, Behra R, Hartmann NB, Filser J, Miao AJ, Quigg A, Santschi PH, Sigg  
822 L. 2008a. Environmental behavior and ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to algae,  
823 plants, and fungi. Ecotoxicology. 17(5):372–386. doi:10.1007/s10646-008-0214-0.

824 Navarro E, Piccapietra F, Wagner B, Marconi F, Kaegi R, Odzak N, Sigg L, Behra R. 2008b.  
825 Toxicity of Silver Nanoparticles to *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.

826 Navarro E, Wagner B, Odzak N, Sigg L, Behra R. 2015. Effects of differently coated silver  
827 nanoparticles on the photosynthesis of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Environ Sci Technol.  
828 49(13):8041–8047. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b01089.

829 Nolte TM, Hartmann NB, Kleijn JM, Garnæs J, van de Meent D, Jan Hendriks A, Baun A.  
830 2016. The toxicity of plastic nanoparticles to green algae as influenced by surface  
831 modification, medium hardness and cellular adsorption. Aquat Toxicol. 183:11–20.  
832 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.12.005.

833 Osmond MJ, McCall MJ. 2010. Zinc oxide nanoparticles in modern sunscreens: An analysis  
834 of potential exposure and hazard. Nanotoxicology. 4(1):15–41.  
835 doi:10.3109/17435390903502028.

836 Oukarroum A, Zaidi W, Samadani M, Dewez D. 2017. Toxicity of nickel oxide nanoparticles  
837 on a freshwater green algal strain of *Chlorella vulgaris*. Biomed Res Int. 2017(2011).  
838 doi:10.1155/2017/9528180.

839 Pakrashi S, Dalai S, T.C. P, Trivedi S, Myneni R, Raichur AM, Chandrasekaran N,  
840 Mukherjee A. 2013. Cytotoxicity of aluminium oxide nanoparticles towards fresh water algal  
841 isolate at low exposure concentrations. Aquat Toxicol. 132–133:34–45.

842 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2013.01.018.

843 Peng X, Palma S, Fisher NS, Wong SS. 2011. Effect of morphology of ZnO nanostructures on  
844 their toxicity to marine algae. *Aquat Toxicol.* 102(3–4):186–196.  
845 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.01.014.

846 Perreault F, Oukarroum A, Melegari SP, Matias WG, Popovic R. 2012. Polymer coating of  
847 copper oxide nanoparticles increases nanoparticles uptake and toxicity in the green alga  
848 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Chemosphere.* 87(11):1388–1394.  
849 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.02.046.

850 Phuong NN, Zalouk-Vergnoux A, Poirier L, Kamari A, Châtel A, Mouneyrac C, Lagarde F.  
851 2016. Is there any consistency between the microplastics found in the field and those used in  
852 laboratory experiments? *Environ Pollut.* 211:111–123. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.035.

853 Pinto E, Sigaud- Kutner TCS, Leitao MAS, Okamoto OK. 2003. Review heavy metals:  
854 induced oxydative stress in algae. *J Phycol.* 39:1008–1018. doi:10.1111/j.0022-3646.2003.02-  
855 193.x.

856 Prado R, Rioboo C, Herrero C, Cid Á. 2011. Characterization of cell response in  
857 *Chlamydomonas moewusii* cultures exposed to the herbicide paraquat: Induction of chlorosis.  
858 *Aquat Toxicol.* 102(1–2):10–17. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.12.013.

859 Pulido-Reyes G, Rodea-Palomares I, Das S, Sakthivel TS, Leganes F, Rosal R, Seal S,  
860 Fernández-Piñas F. 2015. Untangling the biological effects of cerium oxide nanoparticles: the  
861 role of surface valence states. *Sci Rep.* 5:15613. doi:10.1038/srep15613.

862 Renault S, Baudrimont M, Mesmer-Dudons N, Gonzalez P, Mornet S, Brisson A. 2008.  
863 Impacts of gold nanoparticle exposure on two freshwater species: a phytoplanktonic alga  
864 (*Scenedesmus subspicatus*) and a benthic bivalve (*Corbicula fluminea*). *Gold Bull.* 41(2):116–  
865 126. doi:10.1007/BF03216589.

866 Robichaud CO, Uyar AE, Darby MR, Zucker LG, Wiesner MR. 2009. Estimates of Upper  
867 Bounds and Trends in Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub> Production As a Basis for Exposure Assessment. *Environ*  
868 *Sci Technol.* 43(12):4227–4233. doi:10.1021/es8032549.

869 Röhder LA. 2014. Interaction of cerium dioxide nanoparticles with the green alga  
870 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* of physico-chemical characteristics and cerium III. Bremen  
871 (GERMANY).

872 Röhder LA, Brandt T, Sigg L, Behra R. 2014. Influence of agglomeration of cerium oxide  
873 nanoparticles and speciation of cerium (III) on short term effects to the green algae  
874 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Aquat Toxicol.* 152:121–130.  
875 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.03.027.

876 Sadiq IM, Dalai S, Chandrasekaran N, Mukherjee A. 2011. Ecotoxicology and Environmental  
877 Safety Ecotoxicity study of titania (TiO<sub>2</sub>) NPs on two microalgae species: *Scenedesmus sp.*  
878 and *Chlorella sp.* *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 74(5):1180–1187.  
879 doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2011.03.006.

880 Saison C, Perreault F, Daigle JC, Fortin C, Claverie J, Morin M, Popovic R. 2010. Effect of  
881 core-shell copper oxide nanoparticles on cell culture morphology and photosynthesis  
882 (photosystem II energy distribution) in the green alga, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Aquat*  
883 *Toxicol.* 96(2):109–114. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2009.10.002.



884 Salata O V. 2004. Journal of Nanobiotechnology. J Nanobiotechnology. 6(3):1–6.  
885 doi:10.1186/1477-3155-2-12.

886 Salvati A, Åberg C, dos Santos T, Varela J, Pinto P, Lynch I, Dawson KA. 2011.  
887 Experimental and theoretical comparison of intracellular import of polymeric nanoparticles  
888 and small molecules: toward models of uptake kinetics. Nanomedicine Nanotechnology, Biol  
889 Med. 7(6):818–826. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2011.03.005.

890 Sandberg J, Odenvall Wallinder I, Leygraf C, Virta M. 2007. Release and chemical speciation  
891 of copper from anti-fouling paints with different active copper compounds in artificial  
892 seawater. Mater Corros. 58(3):165–172. doi:10.1002/maco.200604002.

893 Sartory DP. 1985. The determination of algal chlorophyllous pigments by high performance  
894 liquid chromatography and spectrophotometry. Water Res. 19(5):605–610. doi:10.1016/0043-  
895 1354(85)90066-1.

896 Schiavo S, Oliviero M, Li J, Manzo S. 2017. Testing ZnO nanoparticle ecotoxicity: linking  
897 time variable exposure to effects on different marine model organisms. Environ Sci Pollut  
898 Res.

899 Schiavo S, Oliviero M, Miglietta M, Rametta G, Manzo S. 2016. Genotoxic and cytotoxic  
900 effects of ZnO nanoparticles for *Dunaliella tertiolecta* and comparison with SiO<sub>2</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub>  
901 effects at population growth inhibition levels. Sci Total Environ. 550:619–627.  
902 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.01.135.

903 Schrand AM, Schlager JJ, Dai L, Hussain SM. 2010. Preparation of cells for assessing  
904 ultrastructural localization of nanoparticles with transmission electron microscopy. Nat  
905 Protoc. 5(4):744–757. doi:10.1038/nprot.2010.2.

906 Schwab F, Bucheli TD, Lukhele LP, Magrez A, Nowack B, Sigg L, Knauer K. 2011. Are  
907 Carbon Nanotube Effects on Green Algae Caused by Shading and Agglomeration? Environ  
908 Sci Technol. 45(14):6136–6144. doi:10.1021/es200506b.

909 Sendra M, Blasco J, Araújo CVM. 2017a. Is the cell wall of marine phytoplankton a  
910 protective barrier or a nanoparticle interaction site? Toxicological responses of *Chlorella*  
911 *autotrophica* and *Dunaliella salina* to Ag and CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles. Ecol Indic.(January):0–1.  
912 doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.08.050.

913 Sendra M, Yeste PM, Moreno-Garrido I, Gatica JM, Blasco J. 2017b. CeO<sub>2</sub> NPs, toxic or  
914 protective to phytoplankton? Charge of nanoparticles and cell wall as factors which cause  
915 changes in cell complexity. Sci Total Environ. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.03.007.

916 Simon DF, Domingos RF, Hauser C, Hutchins CM, Zerges W, Wilkinson KJ. 2013.  
917 Transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis of the effects of metal nanoparticle exposure  
918 on the transcriptome of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 79(16):4774–  
919 4785. doi:10.1128/AEM.00998-13.

920 Sjollem SB, Redondo-Hasselerharm P, Leslie HA, Kraak MHS, Vethaak AD. 2016. Do  
921 plastic particles affect microalgal photosynthesis and growth? Aquat Toxicol. 170:259–261.  
922 doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2015.12.002.

923 Suman TY, Radhika Rajasree SR, Kirubakaran R. 2015. Evaluation of zinc oxide  
924 nanoparticles toxicity on marine algae *Chlorella vulgaris* through flow cytometric,  
925 cytotoxicity and oxidative stress analysis. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 113:23–30.

doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2014.11.015.

Taylor NS, Merrifield R, Williams TD, Chipman JK, Lead JR, Viant MR. 2016. Molecular toxicity of cerium oxide nanoparticles to the freshwater alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* is associated with supra-environmental exposure concentrations. *Nanotoxicology*. 10(1):1–10. doi:10.3109/17435390.2014.1002868.

Trovarelli A, De Leitenburg C, Boaro M, Dolcetti G. 1999. The utilization of ceria in industrial catalysis. *Catal Today*. 50(2):353–367. doi:10.1016/S0920-5861(98)00515-X.

Vance ME, Kuiken T, Vejerano EP, McGinnis SP, Hochella MF, Hull DR. 2015. Nanotechnology in the real world: Redeveloping the nanomaterial consumer products inventory. *Beilstein J Nanotechnol*. 6(1):1769–1780. doi:10.3762/bjnano.6.181.

Wang J, Zhang X, Chen Y, Sommerfeld M, Hu Q. 2008. Toxicity assessment of manufactured nanomaterials using the unicellular green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Chemosphere*. 73(7):1121–1128. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.07.040.

Wang S, Lv J, Ma J, Zhang S. 2016. Cellular internalization and intracellular biotransformation of silver nanoparticles in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Nanotoxicology*. 5390(December):1–7. doi:10.1080/17435390.2016.1179809.

Xia B, Chen B, Sun X, Qu K, Ma F, Du M. 2015. Interaction of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles with the marine microalga *Nitzschia closterium*: Growth inhibition, oxidative stress and internalization. *Sci Total Environ*. 508:525–533. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.11.066.

Yue Y, Li X, Sigg L, Suter MJF, Pillai S, Behra R, Schirmer K. 2017. Interaction of silver nanoparticles with algae and fish cells: A side by side comparison. *J Nanobiotechnology*. 15(1):1–11. doi:10.1186/s12951-017-0254-9.

Yung MMN, Fougères P-A, Leung YH, Liu F, Djurišić AB, Giesy JP, Leung KMY. 2017. Physicochemical characteristics and toxicity of surface-modified zinc oxide nanoparticles to freshwater and marine microalgae. *Sci Rep*. 7(1):15909. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-15988-0.

Zhang C, Hu Z, Deng B. 2015. Silver nanoparticles in aquatic environments: Physiochemical behavior and antimicrobial mechanisms. *Water Res*. 88:403–427. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2015.10.025.

Zhang C, Wang J, Tan L, Chen X. 2016. Toxic effects of microplastic on marine microalgae *Skeletonema costatum*: Interactions between microplastic and algae. *Aquat Toxicol*. 178:158–164. doi:10.1016/j.aquatox.2016.07.020.

Zhang L, Goswami N, Xie J, Zhang B, He Y. 2017. Unraveling the molecular mechanism of photosynthetic toxicity of highly fluorescent silver nanoclusters to *Scenedesmus obliquus*. *Sci Rep*. 7(1):16432. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-16634-5.

Zhang X, Zhang T, Manzoor R. 2017. Toxicity of Silver Nanoparticles to Marine Microalgae *Chlorella Vulgaris*. *(Icepee)*:433–437.

Table 1: Synthesis of metallic NP characteristics (type, surfactant, charge, primary size (diameter, aggregation (size instability of NPs) and microalgae exposed to NPs (environment, species and cell-wall presence/absence): n.a.: not indicated

	Nanoparticles					Microalgae			
Authors	Type	Surfactant	Charge (mV)	Primary size (nm)	Aggregation	Environment	Species	Cell-wall	
Renault et al. 2008	Au	amine	n.a.	10	yes	Freshwater	<i>Scenedesmus suspicatus</i>	yes	
Iswarya et al. 2016		citrate		16	no		<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		
				27					
				37	yes				
		PVP		16					
				27					
				37					
Eroglu et al. 2013				no	30 by 14		n.a.		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>
Pt					51		yes		<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>
					Ag				34
Simon et al. 2013	polyacrylate	-25.5	20	no		<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>			
Eroglu et al. 2013	n.a.	n.a.	10	n.a.		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>			
Kustov and Abramenko 2016	SDS		10 to 12	n.a.		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>			
Leclerc and Wilkinson 2014	polyacrylate		5	no		<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>			
Barwal et al. 2011	no		5;15						
			5;35				no		



[illegible]

Lodeiro et al. 2017	Co	Tween 20 and Polyethylene Glycerol Trioleate	-4	48		<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i>	frustule
Zhang X. et al. 2017		n.a.	n.a.	45		<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	
						<i>Platymonas subcordiformis</i>	
Chen et al. 2018		no	-13.8	30		<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i>	
						<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>	

Table 2: Synthesis of oxide metallic nanoparticle characteristics (type, surfactant, charge, primary size (diameter, aggregation (size instability of nanoparticles) and microalgae exposed to nanoparticles (environment, species): n.a.: not indicated

	Nanoparticles					Microalgae		
Authors	Type	Surfactant	Charge (mV)	Primary size (nm)	Aggregation	Environment	Specie	Cell-wall
Cheloni et al. 2016	CuO	no	-25	30-50	yes	Freshwater	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	yes
von Moos et al. 2015		poly(styrene-co-butyl acrylate)	-20	30-50				
Perreault et al. 2012			-51	30-40				
Saison et al. 2010		PS	n.a.	80				
Melegari et al. 2013		no	-35	30-40				
Kulacki and Cardinale 2012	n.a.		27	yes			<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i>	yes
Chen et al. 2017			20-30				<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	
Wang et al. 2008			21				<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	
Hartmann et al. 2010	-23		10				<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	
	-21		30	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>				
	-25		300	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>				
Dauda et al. 2017	n.a.		<25	n.a.	<i>Thalassiosira pseudonana</i>	frustule		
Simon et al. 2013	-24		5	no	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	yes		
Lee and An 2013	-13.3		21	yes	Sea water	<i>Nitzschia closterium</i>	frustule	
Miller et al. 2010	n.a.		15-20			<i>Isochrysis galbana</i>	yes	
	n.a.		15-20					
Xia et al. 2015	-13.2		21					
	-8.1	60						
	-6.4	400						
Hu et al. 2017	-19	5						
Taylor et al. 2016	CeO <sub>2</sub>	PVP	n.a.	4-5	no	Freshwater	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	yes
Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015		58% Ce <sup>3+</sup>	-12.2	5	yes		<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	
		28% Ce <sup>3+</sup>	-25.6	7				

		40% Ce <sup>3+</sup>	-21.2	18					
		26% Ce <sup>3+</sup>	-24.4	50					
		36% Ce <sup>3+</sup>	-21.1	350 on 20					
Manier et al. 2011		no	20	25					
Manier et al. 2013		citrate triammonium, non- aged	-21	10					
		citrate triammonium, aged 3days	n.a.						
		citrate triammonium, aged 30 days	n.a.						
Sendra et al. 2017b		no	23.6	26			<i>Chlorella autotrophica</i>		
Röhder et al. 2014		no	0	140			<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	no	
			0	140				yes	
Sendra et al. 2017a				4	26			Sea water	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> <i>Namnochloris atomus</i> <i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> <i>Namnochloris atomus</i>
				-22	9				
				3.2	26				
				3.2	26				
				-10	9				
				-10	9				
Simon et al. 2013	ZnO	no	2.7	20	no	Freshwater	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	yes	
Lee and An 2013				-23.3	<100		yes		<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>
Yung et al. 2017				n.a.	23				
		3- aminopropyltrime thoxysilane	-	24					
		dodecyltrichlorosi lane		23					
		no	n.a.	23					
		3- aminopropyltrime thoxysilane	-	24					
			dodecyltrichlorosi		23				



966 Table 3: Synthesis of plastic, silica and Quantum Dot (QD)nanoparticles characteristic (type, surfactant, charge, primary size (diameter, aggregation  
967 (size instability of nanoparticles) and microalgae exposed to nanoparticles (environment, species and cell-wall presence/absence): n.a: not indicated

	Nanoparticles					Microalgae		
Authors	Type	Surfactant	Charge (mV)	Primary size (nm)	Aggregation	Environment	Specie	Cell-wall
Bhattacharya et al. 2010	PS	COOH	-40	20	yes	Freshwater	<i>Scenesdesmus</i>	yes
		NH <sub>2</sub>	106					
		COOH	-40					
		NH <sub>2</sub>	106					
Besseling et al. 2014		SDS	n.a.	70	n.a.		<i>Scenesdesmus obliquus</i>	
Nolte et al. 2016		COOH	-54	110	yes		<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	
		NH <sub>2</sub>	106	20				
Sjollema et al. 2016		COOH	n.a.	500	n.a.	Sea water	<i>Thalassiosira pseudonana</i>	
		no		50		Brackish water	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>	
				500				
		Bergami et al. 2017		COOH		-66	40	
NH <sub>2</sub>			53	50				
Li 2015		Fluorophore	-24	50	no	Freshwater	<i>Euglena gracilis</i>	
							<i>Haematococcus pluvialis</i>	yes

							<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	yes
								no
			-44	500				yes
								no
Casado et al. 2013		Polyethyleneimine	40	55	yes		<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	yes
				110				
Fujiwara et al. 2008	Si	no	n.a.	5	n.a.		<i>Chlorella kessleri</i>	
				26				
				78				
Van Hoecke et al. 2008			-	12.5	no	Freshwater	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	yes
				27				
Wang et al. 2008	QD		n.a.	3.5-4.5	yes		<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	

## Supplementary data

Table S1: Synthesis of metallic nanoparticles effect on microalgae (concentration of toxicity effect, endpoints tested: growth, shading, photosynthesis, oxidative stress, ion release, internalization); n.a.: not indicated

	Nanoparticles		Microalgae	Toxicity effect			Endpoints: effects										
Authors	Type	Surfactant	Specie	Yes/No	Effect concentration (mg/L)	Time (h)	Growth	Shading	Photosynthesis	Oxidative stress	Ions	Internalization	Others				
Renault et al. 2008	Au	amine	<i>Scenedesmus suspicatus</i>	yes	1,59x10 <sup>-6</sup>	24	yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	yes	adsorption				
Iswarya et al. 2016		citrate	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		0.01	72						n.a.		yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
		PVP															
Eroglu et al. 2013				<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	no	n.a.	n.a.		n.a.		yes						
Ksiazek et al. 2015	Pt	no	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	yes	22.2	72	yes		n.a.		n.a.	no	yes	drop of chlorophyll			
					5									drop of chlorophyll			
Simon et al. 2013	Ag	polyacrylate	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>			1	2	n.a.	yes	yes				no		yes	gene overexpressed
Eroglu et al. 2013		n.a.	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	no	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.		yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	carotenoid production				
Kustov and Abramenko 2016		SDS	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	yes	0.067	22		yes	n.a.			yes	yes	n.a.	n.a.		
Leclerc and Wilkinson 2014		polyacrylate	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		0.01	2		n.a.		n.a.	yes			n.a.	yes	yes	gene overexpressed
Barwal et al. 2011		No			n.a.	n.a.											
Navarro et al. 2008b		carbonate			7.75	5											
Navarro et					2.72	1											



al. 2015		chitosan			3.03									
		citrate			4.91									
		dexpanthenol			0.26									
		gelatine			4.41									
		lactate			1.89									
		sodium dodecyl benzenesulfonate			3.51									
		polyethylene glycol (PEG)			1.19									
		polvinylpolypyrrolid one (PVP)			0.74									
		polvinylpolypyrrolid one (PVP)			2	48				n.a.			yes	
Wang et al. 2016		carbonate	<i>Ochromonas danica</i>		0.14		yes					n.a.		
Miao et al. 2010		fluorescent	<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i>		0.03	96	n.a.					yes	transcriptomi c study	
Zhang L. et al. 2017		citrate	<i>Euglena gracilis</i>		0.16	1	n.a.		yes	yes			enzymatic activity inhibition	
Yue et al. 2017			<i>Dunaliella salina</i>		5									
Sendra et al. 2017b		no	<i>Thalassiosira pseudonana</i>		0.01	72	yes		n.a.	n.a.			n.a.	
Burchardt et al. 2012					0.02									
Miao et al. 2009		carbonate	<i>Thalassiosira weissflogii</i>	no	0.4		no		no				EPS production	
Lodeiro et al. 2017		Tween20 et Polyethylene Glycerol Trioleate	<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i>		0.041	48	yes		yes	no	no			
Zhang X. et al. 2017		n.a.	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>		1				no	yes	yes	yes	n.a.	
Chen et al. 2018	Co	no	<i>Platymonas subcordiforus</i>	yes	67.2	96	yes		yes	n.a.		no	Adsorption	
			<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i>		38.6									
			<i>Skeletonema costatum</i>		21.5									

Table S2: Synthesis of oxide metallic nanoparticle effect on microalgae (concentration of toxicity effect, endpoints tested: growth, shading, photosynthesis, oxidative stress, ions releasing, internalization); n.a.: not indicated

	Nanoparticles		Microalgae	Toxicity effect			Endpoints: effects														
Authors	Type	Surfactant	Specie	Yes/No	Effect concentration (mg/L)	Time (h)	Growth	Shading	Photosynthesis	Oxidative stress	Ions	Internalization	Others								
Cheloni et al. 2016	CuO	no	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	yes	0.8	4	no	yes	n.a.	no	yes	n.a.	n.a.								
von Moos et al. 2015					10	5		n.a.	yes	yes	n.a.	no	membrane permeability								
Perreault et al. 2012		poly(styrene-co-butyl acrylate)			4	6	n.a.					yes	n.a.								
Saison et al. 2010		PS			20	6						n.a.									
Melegari et al. 2013					150	72	yes		n.a.		yes	yes	carotenoid levels decrease								
Kulacki and Cardinale 2012	no	TiO <sub>2</sub>	<i>Scenedesmus quadricauda</i>		n.a.	n.a.				n.a.	no	no	n.a.								
Chen et al. 2017			<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		130	3	n.a.	yes		yes		n.a.									
Wang et al. 2008			<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>		10	72	yes	n.a.	no					n.a.	gene overexpressed						
Hartmann et al. 2010					n.a.				yes						n.a.						
																241					
														71.1							
			145																		
Dauda et al. 2017			<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>		0.1	96			n.a.		n.a.										
Simon et al. 2013			<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		1	2	n.a.	yes			yes	no	no	yes	gene underexpressed						
Lee and An 2013			<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	2.5	72	yes	no	n.a.		yes	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.								
Miller et al. 2010			<i>Thalassiosira pseudonana</i>	no	> 1	96	no			n.a.				no	n.a.						
			<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>																		
Xia et al. 2015			<i>Nitzschia closterium</i>	yes	89	96	yes	no	no		yes	n.a.	yes	membrane damage							
					119																
					179																
Hu et al.	<i>Isochrysis</i>		20			no	no	n.a.		n.a.	n.a.										

2017			<i>galbana</i>										
Taylor et al. 2016		PVP	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		80	72	no				yes	yes	gene overexpressed
Pulido-Reyes et al. 2015	CeO <sub>2</sub>	58% Ce <sup>3+</sup>		no	1		yes	n.a.		yes	n.a.		adsorption
		28% Ce <sup>3+</sup>			50		no						
		40% Ce <sup>3+</sup>											
		26% Ce <sup>3+</sup>											
		36% Ce <sup>3+</sup>											
Manier et al. 2011		no	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	11.5	yes		n.a.			n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Manier et al. 2013		citrate triammonium, non aged		5.6								adsorption	
		citrate triammonium, aged 3days		4.1									
		citrate triammonium, aged 30 days		6.2									
Sendra et al. 2017b		no		<i>Chlorella autotrophica</i>								yes	1
Röhder et al. 2014			<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	4.36	yes	n.a.	adsorption						
Sendra et al. 2017a				3.66	yes				n.a.	yes	n.a.	yes	cell membrane damage
				100		no							
				200		yes	cell membrane damage						
				10	no								
				200		no							
<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i>			no										
<i>Namnochloris atomus</i>		no											
<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i>	no												
<i>Namnochloris atomus</i>		no											
Simon et al. 2013	ZnO		<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>	yes	1	2	n.a.	yes	yes	yes	no	yes	gene overexpressed
Lee and An 2013		<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	<0.05		72	yes	n.a.	n.a.	yes	yes	n.a.	n.a.	membrane destabilization
Yung et al. 2017		3-	<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		<10			96	yes				yes
					10								



et al. 2017			<i>vulgaris</i>									
Gong et al. 2011					32.28	72		yes	n.a.	n.a.		
He et al. 2017	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	n.a.	<i>Scenedesmus obliquus</i>		40	96			yes	yes	n.a.	
	MgO				0.8	72		n.a.				
Pakrashi et al. 2013	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	no	<i>Chlorella ellipsoidea</i>		0.001				n.a.	no	yes	lipidic content and peroxydase activity increase

972 Table S3: Synthesis of plastic, silica and Quantum Dot (QD) nanoparticle effect on microalgae (concentration of toxicity effect, endpoints tested:  
973 growth, shading, photosynthesis, oxidative stress, internalization); n.a.: not indicated

	Nanoparticles		Microalgae	Toxicity effect			Endpoints: effects							
Authors	Type	Surfactant	Specie	Yes/No	Effect concentration (mg/L)	Time (h)	Growth	Shading	Photosynthesis	Oxidative stress	Internalization	Others		
Bhattacharya et al. 2010	PS	COOH	<i>Scenesdesmus</i>	yes	6.5	2	n.a.	maybe	yes	no	no	n.a.		
		NH <sub>2</sub>								yes				
		COOH	<i>Chlorella</i>							no				
		NH <sub>2</sub>								yes				
Besseling et al. 2014		SDS	<i>Scenesdesmus obliquus</i>	yes	1000	72	yes	n.a.	yes	n.a.				
Nolte et al. 2016		COOH	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	no			no		n.a.					
		NH <sub>2</sub>		yes	40		yes							
Sjollema et al. 2016		COOH	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i>	yes	250			no	yes		no		n.a.	no
			<i>Thalassiosira pseudonana</i>											
		no	<i>Dunaliella tertiolecta</i>											

					251								
Bergami et al. 2017		COOH		no	50		no	n.a.	n.a.	no	n.a.		
		NH <sub>2</sub>		yes	12.97		yes			yes			
Li 2015		fluorophore	<i>Euglena gracilis</i>	yes	1000	2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	no	Morphologic changes	
			<i>Haematococcus pluvialis</i>	no								Flagella covered by particles	
			<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii wild type</i>	no								EPS excretion	
			<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii mutant</i>									EPS excretion	
Casado et al. 2013		Polyethyleneimine	<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>	yes	0.58 (55nm) 0.54 (110nm)	72	yes				n.a.		
Fujiwara et al. 2008	Si	no	<i>Chlorella kessleri</i>	yes	800(0.8% <i>m/v</i> )	96	yes	n.a.	yes	n.a.	yes		n.a.
					7100(7.1% <i>m/v</i> )								
					9100 (9.1% <i>m/v</i> )								
Van Hoecke et al. 2008			<i>Pseudokirchneriella subcapitata</i>		20	72			n.a.		no		
					28.8								
Wang et al. 2008	QD		<i>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii</i>		5				no	yes	n.a.		